

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Mimi A. Drew Secretary

December 30, 2010

SENT VIA E-MAIL Brian.Schreiber@andersoncolumbia.com

Brian Schreiber A Materials Group, Inc. Post Office Box 1829 Lake City, Florida 32056-1829

Dear Mr. Schreiber:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **7770255**. Your facility permit expires on May 15, 2013. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection checklist is enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/ 245-2960 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos

Maclane Castellano

Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Victor Keisker, AMGI (<u>Victor.keisker@andersoncolumbia.com</u>; <u>keister@comcast.net</u>)
Rick Bradburn, Carol Melton, Mary Beth Curle, FDEP



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (IN: RE-INSPECTI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T/DISCOVER PLAINT NO:	Y (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 7770255 DATE: <u>12/09/2010</u>) ARRIVE: <u>9:4:</u>	5 A.M.	DEPART:			
FACILITY NAME: AMGI PLANT #21						
FACILITY LOCATION: 6800 CA	APITAL CIRCLE SE					
TALLA	HASSEE 32310					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENT Email: CONTACT NAME: CASEY PETERS Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/15/2008 (effective dat	ON 3 / 5/15/2013	Mobile:	(386)752-7585 (850)575-5815			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes: Onsite Manager			,	k ☑ only one each question)		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still I If no, who is?:	BRIAN SCHREIBER?		X	es No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still CASEY PETERSON? If no, who is?:		☐ Y	es			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) of If yes, was the compliance authority no				_		

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-Silo #1(cement) w/silotop baghouse - 254 T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 8/05/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u> (ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check			
		box for each	question)		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No		
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	NoNoNoNoNoNo		
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparation gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 1.3 MM gal proparation maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr	0? ⊠ No		
			-		
G	GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ✓ only one box for each question)				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No		
2.	Does the owner or operator:	_			
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛚 Yes	☐ No		
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No		
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No		

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check	
1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □	box for each	question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the follo	wing question 2.)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		⊠ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone	e,	
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	Yes	☐ No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.9		□ N.
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.90]		∐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation		☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation	permit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated uses).	saga)? 🗆 Vas	⊠ No
If YES, what was the purpose?	sage): res	<u> </u>
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes Yes	∐ No □ No
If TES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		
CHANGES		
CHANGES	(check ✓ box for each	
Administrative Changes:		question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized repres associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emission		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility		⊠ No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		☐ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	⊠ No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	⊠ No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?		⊠ No
d. A change in ownership?		⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee	submitted	
30 days prior to the change?	Yes	☐ No
Tracy White 12/09/2010		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection		
I ray Evlice		
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next	t Inspection	
COMMENTS: The facility was in operation and a "27 ton" supply truck was loading the fly ash silo noted. No changes to equipment were noted. I met with Donnie Leeper, Method 9 VE reader, Astech middle of testing. The last compliance tests in recorded were on 9/10/10 and 12/09/2010. No problems noted.		