- ROHM	NTAL PROTECTION	Sec.
dimen.	N	Sales.
FLO	RIDA	
3110	MDA	لله

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0950050 DATE: <u>5/20/10</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:56 AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:00 AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: HYDRO CONDUIT CORP
FACILITY LOCATION: 2313 Vulcan Rd
APOPKA 32703-2001
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFF PORTER PHONE: (561)820-8415
CONTACT NAME: Jim Nanfeldt/Manager, North Florida General Pipe Div. PHONE: 4072935126
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/1/2006 / 6/1/2011 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)		
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)		
2. Did this facility demonstrate:		
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?		
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No		
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xes Yes No 		
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes No 		

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check M appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2); 2) a relocatable (2); or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable (2) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check I only one box.</i>)
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> ,

 <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i>)	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)? 	⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? XYes No	
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
		emissions?	
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No	
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
		particulate matter from stock piles? Xes No	
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No	

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment

1. Since the la	st inspection has there been		
a) installa	tion of any new process equipment?		🛛 No
b) alterat	ons to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
c) replace	ment of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent	notification form?	Yes	🛛 No
d) If you	answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
	ation form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local p	rogram office?	Yes	🗌 No

Norma Ali

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

5/20/10

Date of Inspection

5/20/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Inspector Norma Ali, met with Mr. Jim Nanfeldt, Manager and Mr. Eugene Schaltenbrand, consultant from Brooks & Associates, Engineering and Environmental Consulting, Inc., to conduct the annual compliance test on the two emission units. Fly Ash and Cement were loaded in the silos. Opacity observed on both baghouses was zero percent. The loading rate for EU 001 Fly Ash was 35.10 TPH. The loading rate for EU 002 Cement was 30.50 TPH. Roads were partially wet. No objectionable odors or PM was observed leaving the property.

Facility appeared to be in compliance at the time of the inspection.