

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

NSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0950050 DATE: <u>6/10/09</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:50 AM</u> DEPART: <u>9:45 AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: HYDRO CONDUIT CORP
FACILITY LOCATION: 2313 Vulcan Rd
APOPKA 32703-2001
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFF PORTER PHONE: (561)820-8415
CONTACT NAME: Jarrad Botts PHONE: (407)466-4390
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/1/2006 / 6/1/2011 (effective date) (end date)
(enseave date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))         Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?

ART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?  Yes \sum No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?  Yes □ No
ART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check $\square$ appropriate box(es))			
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:  a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment			
1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?			
local program office:			
Norma Ali	6/10/2009		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	6/10/2010		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
COMMENTS: Norma Ali met with Jarrad Botts, Safety/Environmental Coordinator of Rinker and E.D. Schaltenbrand, Consultant from Brooks & Associates and conducted a compliance test on the cement silo. 26.40 tons of cement were loaded during 40 minutes. Loading rate of 39.6 tons/hr. Opacity observed was 0%.			
Fly ash was not tested. Facility is not using this material due to slow business.			
Roads are paved, a few pockets of water were observed. No objectionable odor or PM was noticed leaving the property.			
Inspector told Mr. Botts to schedule a VE test for the fly ash silo, when ever they start using it again.			