

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

February 9, 2012

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL joanncrivaro@bellsouth.net

Ms. Joann Crivaro White Construction Co., Inc. Post Office Drawer 790 Chiefland, Florida 32644

Dear Ms. Crivaro:

On January 26, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Whites Construction Trawick Pit crusher ID 7774815. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or by email mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E.

Panama City Branch Administrator

CDW/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

# $\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISC	, , <u>—</u>						
AIRS ID#: 7774815 DATE: <u>1/26/2012</u>	AIRS ID#: 7774815 DATE: 1/26/2012 ARRIVE: 9:00 DEPART: 10:00							
FACILITY NAME: TRAWICK PIT-LIMESTONE PRO	OCESSING PLANT							
FACILITY LOCATION: 1880 LASTER RD								
CHIPLEY 32428-532	29							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Joan Email: joanncriaro@bellsouth.net CONTACT NAME: GINNY MILES or HARDY CROEmail: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/31/2009 / 1/31/2014 (effective date) (end date)	DSS PI	PHONE: (352)493-1444 Mobile: PHONE: (850)638-8762 Mobile:						
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box)								
☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COM	TEMITEE SIGN	IFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
Name(s) of facility representative(s): Hardy Cross     Brief Notes: I met Hardy onsite and reviewed the received.	cords and inspected the cr	(check ☑ only one box for each question)  rusher and associated screening and conveyors.						
The equipment was not operating at the time of this inspe	ection.							
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JOANN CRIVA If no, who is?: NA	ARO?	\(\sum \text{Yes}  \subseteq \ldots \)No						
If different, did the facility provide an administrative to 3. Is the facility contact still HARDY CROSS?								
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's i If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 1	nspection?5 days in advance?							

## Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-primary crusher w/diesel ICE pwr,270T/hr capacity

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant	_	_
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		□No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
	Is the EU one of the following?	⊠ Yes	□No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
lf '	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
σ.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

#### 1 –NMMP Plant-primary crusher w/diesel ICE pwr,270T/hr capacity

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	d	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_	_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
<b>I</b> f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? $\frac{1/1/1990}{1}$		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	⊠No
<b>I</b> f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	_	
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
<b>I</b> f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		_
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}	_	_
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∟No
ı			

#### 1 –NMMP Plant-primary crusher w/diesel ICE pwr,270T/hr capacity

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s 🔲No
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?   N/A	☐ Yes	s 🗌 No
<b>18.</b> Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s \[ \]No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		s 🔲No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		s
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s \[ \]No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>	☐ Yes	s □No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
<b>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	s 🗀No
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	s

#### 1 –NMMP Plant-primary crusher w/diesel ICE pwr,270T/hr capacity

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
			ľ
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			ľ
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	$\overline{}$	**	
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Ш	Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			ľ
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}			
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	□No
	_		
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	ш	105	L \
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
			ľ
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		Was	□ N <sub>2</sub>
instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			ľ
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			[1
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			Į!
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
,			Į!
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 3/24/2011			Į!
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		100	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	$\square$	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?iii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	H	Yes	□No ⊠No
II. has the EU been tested yet within the current calcidal year?	ш	168	△INO
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
	$\vdash$		
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Ш	Yes	No
Rate: NA		**	,,
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Ш	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of <u>NA</u> % for the highest six-minute average.	_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
	_		
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate: NA			_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of <u>NA</u> % for the highest six-minute average.	_		_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
d. Did the 12 tool demonstrate compliance with the opacity mine. (See chart colon).		100	L
			!
Note: the last VE test for this crusher was conducted on 3/24/2011 By Donnie Leeper with a 0% opac	ity.	Be sure	to schedule
this year's VE testing before 12/31/2012.			
			ŀ

## Emissions Unit Section 4 –NMMP Plant-reloc screen op 4'x8' w/feedhopper 42'' Xfer belt

box for each question,   Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO — Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants?  (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite,  Trappock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzie, Mart, Marble, State, Shale, oil Shale, and Shelt: (2) Sand and Gravel;  (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt;  (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride,  and Sodium Sulface; (7) Punnice; (8) Gilosinite; (9) Tate and Pyrophylitie; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite,  and Sodium Sulface; (7) Emince; (8) Gilosinite; (9) Tate and Pyrophylitie; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite,  and Sodium Sulface; (7) Punnice; (8) Gilosinite; (9) Tate and Pyrophylitie; (10) Boron, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.]  1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant  or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?			(check 🗹	only one
Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO — Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants?   Note: "Nonmetallic mineral:" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grantle, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oll Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaoline, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulpiae; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophylline; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemantie; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Dianomite; (15) Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimantie, Topaz, and Dumortierite.]  1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		ł	ox for each	question)
Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzile, Marl, Marble, State, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Grysum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumoriterite.}  1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Is			,
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice	ty re, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?			⊠ Yes	□No
3. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	2.			□No
□ crusher, □ grinding mill. □ bucket elevator, □ belt conveyor, □ bagging operation, □ storage bin. □ enclosed truck loading station □ enclosed railcar loading station; □ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}  If answer to any of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? □ □ □ Yes □ .No  6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? □ □ Yes □ .No  7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)? □ □ Yes □ .No  8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	□No
storage bin,	4.		⊠ Yes	□No
□ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}  If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? — Pes No  6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? — Pes No  7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)? — Pes No  8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or				
minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin;    screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)    building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits.  A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}  If answer to any of the four Questions 1-4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? yes \square No.  6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? yes \square No.  7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)? yes \square No.  8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or				
but not including, the first storage silo or bin;  screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)  building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}  If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)    building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. [A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}  If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)    building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. /A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}  If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)    building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}  If answer to any of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)    building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}  If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
plant are not considered to be screening operations.)    building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. [A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.]  If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
□ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}  If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}  If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}  If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?		subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	_	
capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?			Yes Yes	⊠No
7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	6.			<b>►</b>
capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	_		∐ Yes	⊠No
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	7.			M M
	0		⊥ Yes	ĭIN0
	ō.		Yes	⊠No

#### 4 –NMMP Plant-reloc screen op 4'x8' w/feedhopper 42'' Xfer belt

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	⊠No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 1/1/1990		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	⊠No
<b>I</b> f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	<b>.Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
<b>I</b> f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	<ul> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> </ul>	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

#### 4 –NMMP Plant-reloc screen op 4'x8' w/feedhopper 42'' Xfer belt

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;		
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturir	ng	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?  N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
were initial ragiave emissions less than of equal to 7% opacity.	1 C3	
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		_
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	∐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and  he device for the continuous messymment of the completing liquid flow rate to the wet complete and the		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	_	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		110
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
or acough octationing inquition randor,		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,	□ Voc	□ No
the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?	☐ Yes	□No
the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,	☐ Yes	□No
the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		
the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		□No ⊠No
the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?  If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		
the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?  If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		
the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?  If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	☐ Yes	⊠No
the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?  If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	⊠No
the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠No □ No □No

#### 4 –NMMP Plant-reloc screen op 4'x8' w/feedhopper 42'' Xfer belt

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an		and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with em				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo				
initial startup of the EU?			/A L Yes	s 📙 No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh		• •		
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}				_
b. Was the EU found to be in compli				=
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	m non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity? L Yes	sNo
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?		Yes	s 🔲No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint			_	<u>—</u>
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through th	e	
scrubber and the device has bee				
instructions?				s 🔲No
		manufacturer to be accurate with	_	<u>—</u>
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro				
and	,			
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scru	bber and the	
device has been calibrated on a				s 🔲No
		manufacturer to be accurate with		_
of design scrubbing liquid flow	•			
	•			
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/opera	tor for this EU? <u>3/24/2011</u>		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60	subpart OOO, has the E	U been tested within the past 5	years? X Yes	s 🔲No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar	t 000:	-		
i. has the EU been tested durin	g each of the past 4 cale	ndar years?	X Yes	s 🔲No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calenda	ır year?	Yes	s 🛛No
				<b>-</b> -
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow				_
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		s □No
Rate: NA				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord			Yes	s □No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	oliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	Yes	sNo
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	spector for this unit du	ring this site visit?	Yes	s 🔯No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				
Rate: NA				
b. Was the $\overline{\text{VE}}$ test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9? -		Yes	s 🔲No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	2			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			Yes	s 🔲No
1	,	(		
	VE Opac	ity Limits		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO	EU
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, me	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructe	
	Subpart OOO	_		u on or
Course and an arrival and a second arrival	2007	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%	

Note: the last VE test for this crusher was conducted on 3/24/2011 By Donnie Leeper with a 0% opacity. Be sure to schedule this year's VE testing before 12/31/2012.

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

RI	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
	emissions by:  a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur  (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	☐ Yes	□ No
	b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A  c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
	of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Yes	☐ No
	e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? \[ \] N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ( <u>NA</u> )% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)? <u>NA</u>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>☑</b> box for each of	only one question)
	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- X Yes - X Yes	No No No
2.	Does this facility include:  a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	r	⊠No
Ĭ	<ul> <li>b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?</li> <li>If YES, what other general permit units or activities? NA</li> </ul>		⊠No
i	If YES, what other general permit units of activities: <u>INA</u>		

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:  a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?  b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline?  c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?  d) 1.3 million gallons of propane?  e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?  (	Yes	No  No  No  No  No
GENERAL CONDITIONS		only one
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	- Yes	⊠No
2. Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	X Yes	□No
b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	□No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acce to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	ss	
permit and Department rules?		□No
! <del></del>		
RELOCATABLE PLANT	(check 🗹	only one
1. The facility:  is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i> )	box for each	question)
2. For a relocated NMMP plant:		
<ul> <li>a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> </ul>		□No
b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.9000 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? -		□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air open	ation	
permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
If YES, what was the purpose?  {Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered routine and so		
therefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.}	•	
b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		□No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?		□No
Relocatable Plant #2 and #3: This relocatable NMMP plant has not been relocated from this facility.		
This relocatable NMMP plant is not co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air of	operauon pern	uit.

CHANGES  Administrative Changes:	(check 🗹 box for each	•
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar metals.</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within</li> </ol>	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or ninor administrative change at the facility? Yes	⊠No □No
<ul> <li>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been <ul> <li>a) Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b) Alterations to existing process equipment without rep</li> <li>c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment the domain ownership?</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new 30 days prior to the change?</li> </ul>	Yes placement? Yes hat is substantially different? Yes Yes registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	<ul><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li></ul>
C. Mark Sumner  Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Mark Sen	January 2013	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

**COMMENTS:** White Construction's Trawick Pit located in Washington County. The crusher was not in operation at the time of this inspection. Mr. Hardy Cross was on site to assist during the inspection. From December 2010 to January 2012, about 250 gallons of diesel fuel was used for these emission units. Speed limit signs were posted at the entrance to the facility to aid in controlling fugitive emissions from the yard. The processing of wet material also aids in the prevention of fugitive emissions. To prevent wind blown emissions, the stock pile heights are maintained at a low level and the property is surrounded by trees that act as a windbreak. At the time of the inspection, the most recent annual visible emissions (VE) tests were conducted on 3/24/2011. The department was notified on 2/25/2011 and the report was received on 5/8/2011. No emissions were observed during the test. Please ensure this year's VE test is completed prior to 12/31/2012.