

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Governor

Jeff Kottkamp
Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole
Secretary

Charlie Crist

August 10, 2009

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL fdandrews@bellsouth.net

Mr. Fred Andrews, EHS White Construction Co., Inc. Post Office Drawer 790 Chiefland, Florida 32644

Dear Mr. Andrews:

On July 15, 2009, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 7774815. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those sources specifically listed on the inspection report.

The visible emissions (VE) testing for 2009 has not yet been conducted. As a reminder, VE testing for the two dust collectors should occur prior to December 31, 2009. Also, please ensure that notice of testing is provided to the Department as required by Rule 62-297.310(7)(a)9, Florida Administrative Code, which states that the owner or operator shall notify the department, at least 15 days prior to the date on which each formal compliance test is to begin, of the date, time, and place of each such test, and the test contact person who will be responsible for coordinating and having such test conducted for the owner or operator.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-8300, extension 1222 or Jennifer.Waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Erica Mitchell

Ein Mitchell

Air Compliance Supervisor

EM/jw/c Enclosure



## $\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)
AIRS ID#: 7774815 DATE: 7/15/09 ARRIVE: 1:43 PM DEPART: 2:29 PM
FACILITY NAME: TRAWICK PIT-LIMESTONE PROCESSING PLANT
FACILITY LOCATION: 1880 LASTER RD
CHIPLEY 32428-5329
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: FRED ANDREWS PHONE: (352)493-1444
CONTACT NAME: Ginny Miles, Facility Manager PHONE: (850)638-8762
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/31/2009 / 1/31/2014 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II-A: <u>AIR GENERAL PERMITS</u> – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.  (check <b>R</b> appropriate box(es))  GENERAL PROCEDURES – Confirmation of Eligibility – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C.
1.Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?
b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? Yes No N/A
c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutants? Yes No N/A
2. Does this facility contain:
a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3), F.A.C., or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.?;
b) any emission units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and the air general permit of interest specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?
GENERAL PROCEDURES - Initial Registration/Re-registration - Rule 62-210.310(2)(b), F.A.C.
Has the owner or operator of this facility completed and submitted the proper registration form to the Department for the specific air general permit to be used?;
2. Does this facility have a current valid air general permit (entitlement to operate)?;   Yes  No  N/A
PART II-A: <u>AIR GENERAL PERMITS</u> – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C., Cont. (check <b>R</b> appropriate box(es))
3. Has there been a change of ownership of all or part of the facility?; ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
4. Have there been any new administrative, construction, modification, or equipment changes that require a re-registration? Yes No N/A

GENERAL CONDITIONS – Rule 62-210.310(3), F.A.C.  1. Does the air general permit registration form contain all current information regarding the
facility?;
the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?; Yes No N/A
3. Does the owner or operator:
a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?;
b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?;   Yes  No  N/A
4. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?
PART II-B: DETERMINATION OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY (See Comments Section of this report)
(check $\mathbf{R}$ only $\underline{\mathbf{one}}$ box)
FOR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1))
(If you have checked $\bf R$ this category, answer <u>all</u> questions <u>INCLUDING</u> those with **.)
<u>Subject Facilities</u> : (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)
FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) (If you have checked <b>R</b> this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.)
Non-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crushers or grinding mills; facilities not subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; fixed sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants w/capacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.)
PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C. (check <b>R</b> appropriate box(es))
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.
**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?   Yes No
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point:  **a) exceed 7% percent opacity?
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <b>0.05 grams</b> per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?  Yes No

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.
(check $\mathbf{R}$ appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3, F.A.C.)  1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3.e., F.A.C.)
Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.)  2. Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?   Yes □ No
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?   ✓ Yes ✓ No
<u>Test Methods and Procedures</u> – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?   Yes   No
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22? Yes No
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17? Yes No
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C. )[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and
40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]
Facility and/or Equipment Replacement
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility and/or equipment:
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?
**b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?   Yes  No
**c) for a Conveyor Belt,  **1)the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt?   Yes  No
**d) for a Storage Bin,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?
Performance/Compliance Testing
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance test?
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar quarters?

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (Continued) (check <b>R</b> appropriate box(es)	
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance w 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	l
Process Changes	
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then answer either a)1) or a)2) below.</i> )	⊠ Yes □ No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:  **1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (Note: The unsaturated material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b) and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	☐ Yes ⊠ No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (Note: The saturated material handling processes would now be subject to the no visible emission limit in 40 CFR 60.672(h) (If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	).) Yes No
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the change?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Notification Requirements	<del></del>
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	⊠ Yes □ No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available?	⊠ Yes □ No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	⊠ Yes □ No
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.	
(check $\mathbf{R}$ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable (□; 2) stationary (□; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable	; <u> </u>
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check R only one box above.)  (NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)	r all
a) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation?	Yes No
b) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from deposits? ( <i>If your answer to this question is <u>NO</u>, please proceed to question 1) below.</i> )————————————————————————————————————	
c) If this is a <u>stationary facility</u> , does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	☐ Yes ☐ No

PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (Continued)
(check $\mathbf{R}$ appropriate box(es))
**2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)
**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:
**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No
**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber? Yes \(\Bar{\text{No}}\) No
**b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?
**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?
**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?
PART VI: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.
(check $\mathbf{R}$ appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ ( <i>Please check</i> <b>R</b> <i>only one box.</i> )
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants, located with stationary or relocatable concreted batching plants:  a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? ☐ Yes ☒ No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas Yes No
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite Yes No
3. Does the owner/operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant submitting this registration maintain a log book or books to account for fuel consumption on a monthly basis?   Yes  No
4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (not a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant? ☐ Yes ⋈ No
a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit? Yes No
5. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as
destruction of a building at a regularly permitted tacility (not a little V course)?
destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?
a) If <u>YES</u> , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit? Yes No

PART VII: <u>REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION</u> 210.310(5)(e)3.c., F.A.C.	CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-
(check $\mathbf{R}$ appropriate box(es))	
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)	
emissions by: a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars	located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the drop points?
b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, a	nd yards, which shall include one or more of the following: s, stock piles, and yards?
2) application of water or environmentally safe du emissions?	st-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control  Yes  No
	ther paved areas under control of the owner/operator to as to reduce airborne particulate matter?    Yes  No
•	\(\times \text{Yes} \square \text{No}
5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation?-	\(\times \text{Yes} \square \text{No}
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equip matter?	ment to contain, capture and/or vent particulate Yes No
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems	?
<ul><li>b) alteration of existing process equipment without</li><li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantially</li></ul>	
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?  b) alteration of existing process equipment without  c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?  d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05).	
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been	replacement?
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?  b) alteration of existing process equipment without  c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?  d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05 local program office?	Yes No replacement?
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?  b) alteration of existing process equipment without  c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?  d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05 local program office?	

**COMMENTS:** A Department representative conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection on July 15, 2009 at White Construction's Trawick Pit located in Washington County. The crusher was not in operation at the time. Ms. Ginny Miles was on site to give a tour of the facility and produce records.

According to the general permit registration form, a crusher and portable screening device are located on site with the capacity to process approximately 270 tons per hour and 80 tons per hour, respectively. The facility is located at a mine or quarry and processes only material from onsite natural deposits, which are generally nearly saturated with water. The capacity of this facility makes it subject to 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart OOO; but because they process wet material, Subpart OOO does not apply according to 40 CFR Part 60.670(a)(2).

From March 2008 to March 2009, 14,861 gallons of off-road diesel fuel was purchased to power the generator that runs the facility.

Speed limit signs were posted at the entrance to the facility to aid in controlling fugitive emissions from the yard. The processing of wet material also aids in the prevention of fugitive emissions. To prevent wind blown emissions, the stock pile heights are maintained at a low level and the property is surrounded by trees that act as a windbreak.

At the time of the inspection, the most recent annual visible emissions (VE) tests were conducted on November 19, 2008 and December 17, 2008. No emissions were observed during the tests. The VE test for 2009 has not yet been conducted. Please remember to schedule the VE test for the two dust collectors prior to December 31, 2009 and ensure the Department receives proper notice.