

## NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (I RE-INSPEC	_	COMPLAINT/DISCOV ARMS COMPLAINT N	
AIRS ID#: 7774815 DATE: <u>2/13/08</u>		ARRIVE: <u>2:20 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>2:55 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: TRAWICK PIT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 1880	Laster Road		
CHIP	LEY 32428-5329		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESE	NTATIVE: FRED	ANDREWS PHON	<b>NE:</b> (352)493-1444
CONTACT NAME: Ginny Miles, N	Ianager	РНОМ	<b>NE:</b> (352)493-144
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/3/20 (effective			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIAN	NCE STATUS (chec	ek ☑ only one box)	
IN COMPLIANCE M	INOR Non-COMPLI	IANCE SIGNIFIC	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE
L			
PART II: <u>DETERMINATION OF</u> F (check ☑ only <u>one</u> box)	ACILITY TYPE/AI	<u>PPLICABILITY</u>	
☐ FOR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO (If you have checked ☑ this categories)			
elevator, belt conveyor, bagging o	peration, storage bin, the size of non-met	enclosed truck or railcar talic minerals embedded	, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket loading station, crushers & grinding mills at in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent
☐ <u>FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJE</u> (If you have checked ☑ this categ			
grinding mills; facilities not subjec sand & gravel plants, & crushed sto	t to subparts F (Portla one plants w/capacitie cities of 136 megagra	and Cement Plants) or I (Hess of 23 megagrams/hr (25	screening operations at plants w/o crushers or ot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> tons/hr) or less; <u>portable</u> sand & gravel s; common clay plants, and pumice plants

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,	
Appendix A)?	No
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on	
belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other	
affected emission point: **a) exceed <u>7</u> % percent opacity? □Yes 🛛	No
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of $0.05$ grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage	NU
bin exceed <b>7%</b> percent opacity? [Yes ]	No
Visible Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,	
Appendix A)? Yes	No
**2. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	
percent opacity?	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed $\underline{15}$ % opacity?	No
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,	
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,	
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point <u>NOT</u> subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, equal to an amount then $20\%$ percent energing?	No
Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?	
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging	4.C.
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed	
in a building? ( <i>If answer to question #4 is <u>YES</u>, then proceed to #4.a</i> ))	No
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? ( <i>If</i>	
answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).) $\Box$ Yes $\Box$	No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is:	
	No
2) the opacity greater than 7% percent? [Yes ]	No
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed $\underline{7}\%$ percent opacity? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$	No
**5. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	
	No
	No
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening	
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to	NI-
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?	INU
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket	
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin	
in the production line?  Yes	No

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)
1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as
part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.) Xes No
Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)
2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:
a) initial compliance prior to beginning commercial operation?
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification
form submittal date? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)
3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:
a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form? Xes No
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification
form submittal date? Xes D No
Test Methods and Procedures – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9? QYes No 5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22? Yes No
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17? [Yes ] No
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C. )[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and
40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]
+0 CI K I at $00.070 - 00.070$ , Subpart $000$ , adopted and incorporated by reference at Kule $02-20+.000$ , I.A.C.]
Facility and/or Equipment Replacement
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility
and/or equipment:
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station,
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated
capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?
**b) for a Screening Operation,
**1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total
surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation? Yes No
**c) for a Conveyor Belt,
**1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
**d) <u>for a Storage Bin</u> ,
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?
Performance/Compliance Testing
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change
in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate? [Yes ] No
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to
the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid
flow rate differ by more than $\pm 30$ percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance
test? Yes No
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar $\Box$ No.
quarters? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No

# PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (*Continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)

**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance wit 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	ith ⊠Yes □ No
Process Changes	
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (If your	
answer to this question is <u>YES</u> , then answer <u>either</u> a)1) or a)2) below.)	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (Note: The unsaturated	
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>10% opacity limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	
and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (Note: The saturated	
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.6	
(If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	
change?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Notification Requirements	
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities	
submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial	
number of the equipment, if available?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	
include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No

# PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1.	Is this facility a: 1) relocatable ; 2) stationary ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check Donly one box above.</i> ) ( <u>NOTE</u> : If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the box for
	stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all
	relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)
	a) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a
	Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation? [Yes ] No
	b) If this is a <b>relocatable facility</b> , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from onsite
	deposits? (If your answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , please proceed to question 1) below.) Xes No
	1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray
	bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the
	conveyor drop points? [Yes ] No
	c) If this is a stationary facility, does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water
	suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),
	the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?

# PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (*Continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

**2.	Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OC adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed</i> )	to	
	questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)	Yes	🛛 No
**	a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:		
	**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	Yes	No No
	**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	<b>Yes</b>	🗌 No
**	b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the		
	manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	Yes	No No
	**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	Yes	🗌 No
	**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	<b>Yes</b>	🗌 No
3.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant using	an	
	individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question)	n	
	is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	Yes	🛛 No
	a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	Yes	No No
	b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate under	r	
	a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	Yes	No No
	c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes	No No
	d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	<b>Yes</b>	🗌 No
4.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete		
	batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this		
	question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5.)	·	🛛 No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	Yes	No No
	b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	Yes	l No
5.	Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	_	_
	plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	Yes _	_
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes	l No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	_	_
	calendar year?	Yes	No No
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	Yes	No No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	□Yes	l No
6.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:		
	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	⊠Yes	No
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?	⊠Yes	No
7	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	⊠Yes [	∐ No
7.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility ( <i>no</i> $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of $\frac{1}{2}$	t	
	<i>a Title V source</i> ) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt		
	plant?it for the second sec	□Yes	X No
	a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the		□ N-
0	operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	∐Yes	No
δ.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as		
	destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility ( <i>not a Title V source</i> )?	∐Yes   □Yes	No No
	a) If <u>YES</u> , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?		🗌 No

#### PART VI: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-

210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C.

(check  $\blacksquare$  appropriate box(es))

#### Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant take reasonable precautions to control u	unconfined
emissions by:	
a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the	
crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points?	]Yes 🛛 No
b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the follow	wing:
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	]Yes 🛛 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
emissions?	]Yes 🛛 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	C
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	]Yes 🛛 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
	Yes 🗌 No
5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation?	Yes 🗌 No
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipment to contain, capture and/or vent particulate	
matter?	Yes 🛛 No
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?	]Yes 🛛 No

## PART VII: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

	nce the last inspection has there been		
a	) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b	) alteration of existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
с	) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	Yes	No
d	) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?	□Yes	No

Carol Melton

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

/s/

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Crusher was not operating at the time of inspection. Diesel fuel is used to run the plant. A log of gallons of diesel fuel used per month had been maintained for the past year. Fuel purchase receipts and fuel analysis reports were made available during the inspection and appeared current. The crusher operates approximately 4 hours per day, crushing approximately 150 tons per hour. Surrounding the rock crusher are several residential homes, and several acres of crop lands. Since the site is a mine, the rock crushing occurs at a lower elevation than the elevation of the surrounding homes. Berms and a tree line have been placed to help shield the residences from noise and dust.

2/13/08