

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVI ARMS COMPLAINT NO		
AIRS ID#: 0950037 DAT	TE: <u>09/12/2008</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:00 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>1:00 PM</u>	
FACILITY LOCATION:	: 435 W GRANT ST ORLANDO 32806 D REPRESENTATIVE: JEFF ad Kelly	PORTER PHON	GRANT ST. READY-MIX PLANT E: (561)820-8415 E: (407)849-6140	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
 (check ☑ appropriate <u>Stack Emissions</u> Were visible emissi 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from controlled to the ex During visible emissi at a rate that is reprunless such rate is Are emissions from to this question is "skip 4.a) and 4.b) a a) Was the batchim b) During the visite duration?	ions tests conducted during this is n silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) stent necessary to limit visible er ssions tests of the silo dust collect resentative of the normal silo loa unachievable in practice?	site visit according to EPA M), and other enclosed storage a missions to 5 percent opacity? ctor exhaust points was the lo iding rate, or at least at the mi eration controlled by the silo of ions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If an the visible emissions test? ing rate representative of the ation are controlled by a dust of stests of the weigh hopper (1	Iethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Set Interview Set Complexity Set Interview Set Complexity Set Interview Set Complexity
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ⊠Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

((check 🗹 appropri	ate box(es))		
		1) a stationary 🖂;		

 a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year? c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	ng Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)? 	□Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? XYes No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process</u> <u>Equipment</u>

1. Since the last inspect	on has there been		
a) installation of an	y new process equipment?	Yes	🛛 No
b) alterations to exi	sting process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
	kisting equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification	n form?	Yes	🛛 No
d) If you answered	YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form	and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program of	fice?	Yes	🗌 No
d) If you answered notification form	YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	∐ Yes	

Bill Rhodes & Ilka Bundy

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

09/12/2008

Date of Inspection

09/12/2009

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: 4 VE's conducted. The following emission points were tested: (1) West dust collector on silo (2) east dust collector on silo (3) Vent on central dust collector (4) Bag house on stand alone silo. All had observed opacities of 0%. All loading rates acceptable.