

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/E		(CI)			
ΑI	AIRS ID#: 7770088 DATE: <u>2/6/14</u> ARRIVE: <u>1:00</u> DEPART: <u>2:00</u>							
FA	CILITY NAME: CLI	IFTON MINE						
FA	CILITY LOCATION	4202 NW 27TH AVE						
		OCALA 34482						
CO	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: LARRY MANNING Email: lmanning@magnummaterials.net CONTACT NAME: LARRY MANNING Email: lmanning@magnummaterials.net ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/11/2013 / 8/11/2018 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (352)622-2839 Mobile: Mobile:							
PA	Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
		resentative(s): Larry Manning			,		only one question)	
2.	Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?:	esentative still LARRY MANN	IING?		×	Yes	□No	
3.		ility provide an administrative utill LARRY MANNING?				Yes Yes	□No □No	
4.		ting VE test(s) during today's in the authority notified at least 1:				Yes Yes	⊠No □No	

Emissions Unit Section 5 –NMMP Plant-crusher (RAP) electric RP001, 175T/hr

box for each question			(check ☑	only one
Set the Emissions Unit (EU) subicet to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO — Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants? (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limstone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaodin, Fireelay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfare; (17) Pumice; (8) Glisonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barrie; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		1	ox for each	question)
Note: "Nommetallic minerals" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentionite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Grysum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Ferlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalustic, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumoriterite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Te ·			,
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granic Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock of Softypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)? —			⊠ Yes	□No
3. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	2.		🕅 Yes	
Crusher,				□No
storage bin,	4.		⊠ Yes	□No
□ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs. If answer to any of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. /4 "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		•		
□ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? ───────────────────────────────────				
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	su	opart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	5.			
6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?				
capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	_		☐ Yes	⊠No
7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	6.		□ 3 7	
capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	7		∐ Yes	∐No
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	/•		□ Vac	⊠ No
	8			₩140
-1 2 - 1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8.	•		☐ Yes	⊠No

5 -NMMP Plant-crusher (RAP) electric RP001, 175T/hr

	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	s 🖾No
	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	s ⊠No
sub If t	the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. The answer to all of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 1/1/2006		
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	∐ Yes	s 🗵No
If a	inswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	s 🖾No
If a	nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
	Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	s
	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	s 🗌 No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	s 🔲No

5 -NMMP Plant-crusher (RAP) electric RP001, 175T/hr

16.Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? \[\Backsim N/A \]		Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
 a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	□No
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

5 -NMMP Plant-crusher (RAP) electric RP001, 175T/hr

individually in compliance with emissions limitis: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	22. If the EU is a building enclosing any		and all enclosed EUs are not						
initial startup of the EU?									
A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	a. Was an initial PM stack test perfori	ned on each vent contro	ol device within 180 days of	/ A	□ 3 7	□ N.			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUS.] b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?				/A	⊥ Yes	∐ No			
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?									
b. Was the EÜ found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? Yes		air carrying particulai	e matter (1 M) emissions from						
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?-		nce with the PM limit of	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		□ Ves	\square No			
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?					=	=			
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?			8	- r, ·					
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? [Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.] and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? -					Yes	⊠No			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? —									
Instructions?									
Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and									
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? -					∐ Yes	∐No			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? — Yes No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 12/17/13 a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? — Yes No b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR 80 subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? — Yes No ii. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar year? — Yes No No No	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nın +250					
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No foliates of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 12/17/13 a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? Yes No b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? Yes No ii. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar year? Yes No ii. has the EU been tested by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? Yes No Rate: Yes No c. The VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes No c. The VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes No c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. Yes No c. The VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes No c. The VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) Yes No No c. The VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) Yes No N		sure. j							
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? —		ement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	ober and the	2				
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 12/17/13 a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		- C	•		_	□No			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 12/17/13 a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? \(\text{ Yes} \) \(\text{ No} \) b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? \(\text{ Yes} \) \(\text{ No} \) ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? \(\text{ Yes} \) \(\text{ No} \) 25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? \(\text{ Yes} \) \(\text{ No} \) a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? \(\text{ Yes} \) \(\text{ No} \) Rate: \(\text{ Yes} \) \(\text{ No} \) c. The VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? \(\text{ In the injects in the injects in the opacity limit?} (See chart below) \(\text{ Yes} \) \(\text{ No} \) 26. Was a VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? \(\text{ Yes} \) \(\text{ No} \) a. Was the VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? \(\text{ Yes} \) \(\text{ No} \) a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? \(\text{ Yes} \) \(\text{ No} \) a. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? \(\text{ In the Interpretative in the opacity limit?} \) b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? \(\text{ In the VE test test conducted according to EPA Method 9? \(\text{ In the VE test test resulted in an opacity of \(\text{ Method 9?} \) \(\text{ In the VE test test method in an opacity of \(\text{ Method 9?} \) \(\text{ In the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit?} \) b. Was the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) \(\text{ Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008}	{Note: The monitoring device m	ust be certified by the r	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		_			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	of design scrubbing liquid flow r	ate.}							
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		11 41 /	6 41 FN9 10/17/10						
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?					✓ Vas	□ No			
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?			o been tested within the past 3	years?	i es i es	□N0			
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?			ndar vears?		⊠ Yes	□No			
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? Yes	ii. has the EU been tested yet wit	hin the current calenda	r year?			=			
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	j		•		_	_			
Bate:									
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	□No			
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo 26. Was a VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? YesNo a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? YesNo Rate: YesNo c. The VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo VE Opacity Limits EU not subject to 40 CFR 60		- FDAM (1 100			□ 3 7				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below). ————————————————————————————————————	b. Was the VE test conducted according.	ng to EPA Method 9? -	act civ minute everege		⊥ Yes	□N0			
26. Was a VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? Yes	d. Did the VF test demonstrate compl	iance with the onacity l	imit? (See chart below)		□ Ves	\square No			
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes	d. Did the VE test demonstrate compr	idince with the opacity i	mint: (See chart below).						
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes	26. Was a VE test conducted by the insp	ector for this unit du	ing this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes					Yes	No			
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo \[\begin{align*} ali					_	_			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo \[\begin{align*} \beg					∐ Yes	∟No			
VE Opacity Limits EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, Subpart OOO EU constructed prior to 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system VE Opacity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 15% 12%					□ 3 7	□ N.			
EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 15% Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008	d. Did the VE test demonstrate compi	iance with the opacity i	imit? (See chart below)		L Yes	□N0			
EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 15% Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008									
40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system 20% constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 15% 12%			ity Limits						
Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%			=	_		_			
to 4/22/2008 after 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		*				
Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%		Subpart OOO	_			or			
				after 4/2					
All other affected EUs 20% 10% 7%									
	All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7/%				

Emissions Unit Section 6 -NMMP Plant- screening operation, electric motor 200T/hr

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	✓ Yes✓ Yes	No No No No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	∴.No ∴.No ∴.No
υ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

<u>6 –NMMP Plant- screening operation, electric motor 200T/hr</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	ed l ng	Yes	⊠No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ [,]	Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. The answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 1/1/2006			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	⊠No
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	□ ,	Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? ———————————————————————————————————		Yes	☐ No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	·	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

6 -NMMP Plant- screening operation, electric motor 200T/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	□ `	Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	\Box	. 7	
instructions?	· 📙 `	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vec	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design serubbing riquid flow rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	\Box	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, 		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	□No □No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	No □No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	□No □No □ No □No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	No □No

<u>6 –NMMP Plant- screening operation, electric motor 200T/hr</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo	rmed on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
		🖂 N	/A	Yes Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh					
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in comple				∐ Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the FII2			☐ Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator main					△١٩٥
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through the	ρ		
		al basis in accordance with man			
				Yes	□No
		manufacturer to be accurate with			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro		manaractarer to se accurate with	1111 1250		
and	-5501201				
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	bber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on a	n annual basis in accord	ance with manufacturer's instru	ctions?	☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device i	must be certified by the	manufacturer to be accurate with	hin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24 1171		A C Al EII9 10/17/10			
24. When was the last VE test conduct				□ V	□ Na
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60		to been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		ndar years?		⊠ Yes	□No
		ndar years?ar year?		Yes	□No □No
n. has the EO been tested yet w	itilli the current calenda	ıı year:		1 CS	⊠110
25. Was a VE test conducted by the on	ner/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				Yes	□No
Rate:	•				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9?			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes Yes	□No
					<u> </u>
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>in</i> :					⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	∐No
Rate:	Part EDA Mada 100			□ 3 7	□ Nt.
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacityd. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				☐ Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	phance with the opacity	mint? (See chart below)		☐ i es	□N0
		rity Limits	T		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	: 000 EU	_
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modifi	-
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or recon	structed or	ı or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2	22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
					_

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		-
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	Yes	☐ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	⊠ Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	X Yes X Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	⊠No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		⊠No

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No No
GENERAL CONDITIONS 1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	☐ Yes	⊠No
2. Does the owner or operator:a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- 🛚 Yes	□No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	□No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
RELOCATABLE PLANT		only one
1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>)	box for each	question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	5)]	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	- Yes	⊠No

Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within	box for each qumber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or alministrative change at the facility? Yes	only one question) ⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without rep c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment th d) A change in ownership?	Yes lacement?	∷No∴No∴No∴No∴No
Patrick Farris	2/6/14	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
faturh 2		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: During the 2013 re-registration, two of the crushers formerly associated with this permit were each registered as separate relocatable units, 7775777 & 7775776.