

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	AL (INS1, INS2) SPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVI	· / <u>—</u>
AIRS ID#: 7774801 DATE: 10/		ARRIVE: 8:15 a.m.	DEPART: <u>9:15 a.m.</u>
FACILITY NAME: Pug mill # 7	701 - located at Central I	Power and Lime Facility	
FACILITY LOCATION:	103011 Cement Plant Ro	oad	
1	Brooksville, FL 34603-	-	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPE Email: alanpayne@flsoilceme CONTACT NAME: Alan Payn Email: same as above ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/	ent.com ne	Mobile PHON Mobile	NE: (
PARTA INCRECTION COME	TIANCE OF APRIC (.1		
PART I: INSPECTION COMP	MINOR Non-COMP		ANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDI (check ☑ appropriate box(es		<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F	F.A.C.
62-297, F.A.C.)?	weigh hoppers (batchers cessary to limit visible e ests of the silo dust colle ive of the normal silo los evable in practice?eigh hopper (batcher) op hen continue on to quest tinue on to question in operation during ssions test, was the batcher, are the visible emission,	emissions to 5 percent opacity? ector exhaust points was the logading rate, or at least at the missions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If are the visible emissions test?	Yes

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	ne ⊠Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	⊠Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after t test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and ot re-entrainment, and from building or work area 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of	nd yards, which shall include one or more of the follows, stock piles, and yards?	 ∑Yes
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>S</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	
 b) alterations to existing process equipment withou c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4) 	e owner submit a new and complete	□Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No
James Burkholder	10/6/2010	
James Burkholder Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	-
		-
	Date of Inspection	-
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: I, James Burkholder, conducted an unannounce Cemex South Plant/ Central Power & Lime facility located at 1 purpose of the inspection was to audit the visible emissions test	Date of Inspection 10/6/2013 Approximate Date of Next Inspection ced inspection for the FL Soil Cement Plant#701, loc 10311 Cement Plant Road, Brooksville, Hernando Cotting and inspect the Pug Mill.	ounty. The
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: I, James Burkholder, conducted an unannounce Cemex South Plant/ Central Power & Lime facility located at 1	Date of Inspection 10/6/2013 Approximate Date of Next Inspection ced inspection for the FL Soil Cement Plant#701, loc 10311 Cement Plant Road, Brooksville, Hernando Cotting and inspect the Pug Mill. Lime and treats the flyash with water in a mixer. The d the Visible Emissions testing properly according to	e treated flyash is DEPA Method 9
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: I, James Burkholder, conducted an unannounce Cemex South Plant/ Central Power & Lime facility located at 1 purpose of the inspection was to audit the visible emissions test. The Soil Cement Plant receives flyash from Central Power & L then sufficient for landfilling. The certified observer perfromed and no visible emissions were observed. The facility did not of	Date of Inspection 10/6/2013 Approximate Date of Next Inspection ced inspection for the FL Soil Cement Plant#701, loc 10311 Cement Plant Road, Brooksville, Hernando Cotting and inspect the Pug Mill. Lime and treats the flyash with water in a mixer. The d the Visible Emissions testing properly according to perate in 2008 or 2009 and visible emissions testing with the silo and not into the atmosphere. The only emission e-mail was sent to Mr. Payne requesting more information or without pressurizing the silo and damaging the united to the silo and damaging the un	e treated flyash is o EPA Method 9 was not ions would be rmation on the
Inspector's Name (Please Print) COMMENTS: I, James Burkholder, conducted an unannounce Cemex South Plant/ Central Power & Lime facility located at 1 purpose of the inspection was to audit the visible emissions test. The Soil Cement Plant receives flyash from Central Power & L then sufficient for landfilling. The certified observer perfromed and no visible emissions were observed. The facility did not optonducted. According to Mr. Payne, the dust collector exhausts back into the fugitive emissions if the safety/pop off valve was activated. An recirculation process on 10/6/2010 and how this effectively work.	Date of Inspection 10/6/2013 Approximate Date of Next Inspection red inspection for the FL Soil Cement Plant#701, local 10311 Cement Plant Road, Brooksville, Hernando Cotting and inspect the Pug Mill. Lime and treats the flyash with water in a mixer. The difference the Visible Emissions testing properly according to perate in 2008 or 2009 and visible emissions testing with the silo and not into the atmosphere. The only emission e-mail was sent to Mr. Payne requesting more information on the exhaust process. age, and diesel fuel analysis. The diesel fuel is coming the silo and diesel fuel analysis.	e treated flyash is o EPA Method 9 was not ions would be rmation on the unit. The