

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>INSPECTION</u> <u>TYPE</u> : AN	NUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY	(CI)	
RE	INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0870057 DATE 1	0-30-07	ARRIVE: 1330 hours	DEPART:	
FACILITY NAME: FLORII	DA KEYS CREMATORY			
FACILITY LOCATION:	U.S. HIGHWAY 1, MM	I 10 1/2		
	BIG COPPITT KEY 3:	3040		
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL	: Jeffrey DEAN	PHONE: (305)294-1066	
CONTACT NAME: Jeffrey	Dean	PHONE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR: 200	<u>7</u> ENTITL	EMENT PERIOD: 10/25/2004 (effective date)	/ 10/25/2009 (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION CO				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMF	PLIANCE SIGNIFICANT	Non-COMPLIANCE	1
PART II: TESTING/RECO	RDKEEPING REQUIREN	<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C	•	
(check ☑ appropriate bo	x(es))			
		site visit according to EPA Method		∐ Yes ∐ No
		nce, was an annual visible emission		□Yes ⊠ No
		on, and within 60 days prior to each		
				⊠Yes □ No
	lays prior to the AGP Notifi	nce were the remaining applicable scation form submission? (Rule 62-		
a) Carbon Monoxide ((CO) emissions equal to or l	cation form submission? (Rule 62- below the requirements of 100 parts	210.300(4), F.A.C.) s per million by	
a) Carbon Monoxide (volume, dry basis, com 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-2	CO) emissions equal to or lected to 7% O ₂ on an hourl 97, F.A.C.)?	cation form submission? (Rule 62-	210.300(4), F.A.C.) s per million by g to EPA Method	
 a) Carbon Monoxide (volume, dry basis, cor 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-2 b) Oxygen test perfor c) Particulate matter e 	CO) emissions equal to or the rected to 7% O ₂ on an hourle 97 , F.A.C.)?	cation form submission? (Rule 62- below the requirements of 100 parts y average basis and tested according and 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C qual to or below the requirements of	210.300(4), F.A.C.) s per million by g to EPA Method 	☐Yes ☐No
 a) Carbon Monoxide (volume, dry basis, cornol (Ref.: Chapter 62-2b) Oxygen test performed) Particulate matter edry standard cubic foo (Ref.: Chapter.62-297, 	CO) emissions equal to or be rected to 7% O ₂ on an hourl 97 , F.A.C.)?	cation form submission? (Rule 62- below the requirements of 100 parts y average basis and tested according and 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C qual to or below the requirements of the or below the requirements of the or below the or below the requirements of the or below the or belo	210.300(4), F.A.C.) s per million by g to EPA Method f 0.080 grains per PA Method 5	☐Yes ☐No
a) Carbon Monoxide (volume, dry basis, cor 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-2 b) Oxygen test perfor c) Particulate matter e dry standard cubic foo (Ref.: Chapter.62-297, 5. Was all emissions testi capacity?	CO) emissions equal to or be rected to 7% O ₂ on an hourl 97, F.A.C.)?	cation form submission? (Rule 62- below the requirements of 100 parts y average basis and tested according and 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C qual to or below the requirements of the operating at the manufacturers re-	210.300(4), F.A.C.) s per million by g to EPA Method	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
a) Carbon Monoxide (volume, dry basis, cornol (Ref.: Chapter 62-2b) Oxygen test perform c) Particulate matter edry standard cubic foo (Ref.: Chapter.62-297, 5. Was all emissions testicapacity?	CO) emissions equal to or be rected to 7% O ₂ on an hourl 97 , F.A.C.)?	cation form submission? (Rule 62- below the requirements of 100 parts y average basis and tested according and 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C qual to or below the requirements of the or below the requirements of the or below the or below the requirements of the or below the or belo	210.300(4), F.A.C.) s per million by g to EPA Method f 0.080 grains per PA Method 5 ecommended al crematory unit? liance test?	□Yes □No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re	
measurements, maintenance, reports and records? SEE COMMENTs	8
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes □ No
2) Monitoring device	☐Yes ☐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	∏Yes ∏ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	⊠Yes □ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠Yes ☐ No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tire	
@ 1800° F?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	□Yes □ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?Not Verified, see comments	
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	□Yes ⊠ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	□Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes □ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes □ No

A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>(RES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.
 Since the last inspection has there been installation of any new process equipment? alterations to existing process equipment wit replacement of existing equipment substantiate recent notification form? If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, dinotification form and appropriate fee (Rule 6 local program office? If a crematory unit has been modified to the exterwas required, have all operators been retrained to 	thout replacement?
3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where required, has the owner submitted copies of all of a) submitted within the 15 day required window	perator training certificates?Yes No
required, has the owner submitted copies of all o	perator training certificates?Yes No
required, has the owner submitted copies of all of a) submitted within the 15 day required window	perator training certificates?
required, has the owner submitted copies of all of a) submitted within the 15 day required window Barbara Nevins	perator training certificates?

COMMENTS: This facility inspection was in two part, a VE test on 9-21-07, filed as a separate report, and a file review on 10-30-07, documented in this report. The crematory is located on Big Coppitt Key and the Funeral Home office in Key West. At the crematory only the operator's logbook and the operator's training certificates were available. All other records are kept at the Key West office.

On 10-30-07 the files stored at the main office in Key West were reviewed. Random temperature charts were reviewed for the years 2006 and 2007. A few of these charts showed cremations performed without inked recording of temperatures. The ink marks would lighten, disappear, then reappear bold overtime indicating the deficiency was due to lack of ink in the pen. Handwritten entries on the charts showed time and date of cremation, deceased name, date of death, and crematory operator's signature. The missing temperature recordings were discussed with Mr. Jeffrey Dean. He said that he would correct this deficiency for future cremations. All of the temperatures recorded during the cremations were sustained above 1600° F.

Records of composition of the cardboard containers and bags used during the cremations were not available to document less than .5% by weight, chlorinated plastics.

Regarding the boxes: Mr. Dean had requested but not been provided the composition information from the box vendor. He said he was planning on discontinuing the use of the boxes as they were for airplane transport and more durable than he needed for cremation. The problem was not with visible emissions created, but rather with some cardboard ash remaining after the cremation was completed. He was considering using plywood sheets. We discussed this plan. First he would have to determine if the plywood contained any chlorinated plastics. Then he would have to determine if the plywood contained any hazardous materials, for example preservatives with arsenic. While the air permit rules specifically prohibit greater than .5% by weight chlorinated plastics, hazardous waste rules prohibit burning hazardous wastes.

Regarding body bags: Mr. Dean said that he would request an MSDS sheet from the medical examiner's office to document whether there is less than .5% by weight chlorinated plastics.

Repair and maintenance records were not reviewed as they were not stored separately from the other Funeral Home invoices. Mr. Dean offered to make them available, but the time to search through all of their invoices was prohibitive. Invoices from repairs were sent directly to the Funeral Home accounts manager. Suggested that any repairs and preventative maintenance be documented in the operators' logbook at each crematory. If needed, the specific invoice could then be located by the date logged. Mr. Dean said he would do this. He was also going to contact both of the companies that work on the crematories and have them send him records of maintenance for the last two years. He would create a file for keeping these repair records so that it could be easily reviewed.

Summary:

The 9-21-07 VE test revealed compliance with emissions limitations.

The chart recorder pen must be maintained so that temperatures are recorded during each cremation.

Records of composition of containers cremated with the bodies shall be obtained and retained to verify less than .5% chlorinated plastics content by weight. Follow-up contact with Mr. Dean on his proposed plan to use plywood will be made by the Department to determine if this is allowable based on the content of the plywood and Department Rules.

Records of maintenance and repair for the last two years will be obtained by Mr. Dean from his service vendors. It was recommended that future repair and maintenance site visits be documented in the on-site operator's logbook so that the records of repair can be easily located during inspections.

The facility file did not reveal an in-depth records review by the Department in the past, with this permittee. Mr. Dean was very helpful and attentive regarding the deficiencies noted during the file review. He indicated that future record keeping would be in compliance with Department Rules. A non-compliance letter will be sent documenting the deficiencies found and his planned corrective actions.

The facility was returned to compliance without formal enforcement action.

NOTE TO FILE: Reportedly (I located a newspaper article documenting this) a former employee started his own "funeral home" and had cremated bodies illegally, at night. This was discovered by Mr. Dean, and the employee was incarcerated. The employee may have been responsible for some of the problems with the inked recording of temperatures, to hide the illegal activity. Mr. Dean did not mention this or try to use as an excuse for missing readings, however, the possibility of intentional tampering with the ink device (the pen) to hide the illegal cremations is a consideration taken during this inspection for some of the missing readings.