

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	· / —	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0870057 DA	ГЕ: <u>8-27-2008</u>	ARRIVE: 4PM	DEPART:	
FACILITY NAME: FLO	ORIDA KEYS CREMATORY			
FACILITY LOCATION	U.S. HIGHWAY 1, MM	M 10 1/2		
	BIG COPPITT KEY	33040		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: J. D	DEAN PHO	ONE: (305)294-1066	
CONTACT NAME:		РНО	ONE:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 10/25/2004 / 10/25/20 (effective date) (end date)	009		
PART I: INSPECTION IN COMPLIANCE	CE MINOR Non-COMP		CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	3
PART II: TESTING/RE	CORDKEEPING REQUIRE	MFNTS _ Rule 62-296 401	FAC	
(check ☑ appropriat	e box(es))			
2. Was a visible emis	jectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ⊠ No
3. In order to demons days prior to the A	strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi		missions test conducted 60	Yes No
4. In order to demons	(5)(i) F.A.C.)	ion, and within 60 days prior		⊠Yes □ No
a) Carbon Monox	strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notified (CO) emissions equal to or	ion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining appl fication form submission? (R below the requirements of 10	icable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by	⊠Yes □ No □Yes □No
a) Carbon Monoxvolume, dry basis,10 (Ref.: Chapterb) Oxygen test pe	strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notified (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining apple fication form submission? (R below the requirements of 10 days prior and the submission? (R below the requirements of 10 days prior and the submission? (R days and tested and days are submission). (Ref.: Chapter 62-297,	icable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method	
 a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate mat dry standard cubic 	strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notificide (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining application form submission? (R below the requirements of 10 days prior submission? (R below the requirements of 10 days prior days are days and tested a days are days and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to 200 days are days and tested according to 200 days are	icable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method, F.A.C.)?	Yes No Yes No
a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate mat dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62-5. Was all emissions	strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notificide (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining appl fication form submission? (R below the requirements of 10 rly average basis and tested a chod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, equal to or below the requirement to 7% O ₂ and tested according	icable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method, F.A.C.)?	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate mat dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62-5. Was all emissions capacity?6. Was CO & PM co 7. Was the Departme	strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notificide (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining application form submission? (R below the requirements of 10 days prior submission? (R below the requirements of 10 days prior days and tested a days and tested action of 20 days and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to 20 days and tested according to 20 days and tested according to 30 days and tested according to 40 days and 40 days and 40 days are days are days and 40 days are days and 40 days are days are days are days and 40 days are days	icable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method	Yes No Yes No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record				
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co				
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?				
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	∐Yes ∐ No			
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re	cording of such			
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?				
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	∐Yes ∐ No			
2) Monitoring device	Yes No			
3) Performance Testing Measurements				
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation				
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes No			
6) Adjustments	☐Yes ☐ No			
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	☐Yes ☐ No			
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	∐Yes ∐ No			
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)				
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)				
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)				
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:				
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	∐Yes ∐ No			
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F				
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No			
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature				
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	☐Yes ☐ No			
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to				
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the				
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	∐Yes ∐ No			
4 IC				
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	•			
*a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence t @ 1800° F?				
	⊠Yes □ No			
*b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	⊠v □ v.			
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes ☐ No			
*c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremat				
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes ☐ No			
*see details on the following page.				
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	⊠Yes □ No			
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies? a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the				
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use? No actual container is used other than a blanke				
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	t- I les I No			
this location?	□Yes ⊠ No			
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes □ No			
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du				
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes □ No			

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment					
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?					
3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit was required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates?					
Barbara Nevins	8-27-08				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Barbara Nevins	9-27-08				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS:					

I was notified of this VE test schedule via a telephone call from John Curry, test consultant. The Cremation was to begin at 4 pm. I told him I would attend, but that if I did not arrive on time due to traffic delays the start of the cremation need not be delayed.

I arrived on site at 4 pm. I observed heat waves and no emissions coming from the facility stack. Inside the building, I located Mr. Curry, Peter Gomez, the crematory operator, and two other funeral home employees. The cremation had already begun. Mr. Curry and the Operator told me that they started the cremation at 3:45 pm. Mr. Curry said, yes, he had started his VE observations at 3:45. I questioned how he was doing 15 second VE readings standing inside the building. He left the building. I later observed that he had moved his vehicle from where it was parked by the side of the building to the usual observation point across the gravel road. He was taking readings from his car through the open side window.

When I arrived at 4 pm, the temperature gauge showed a temperature above 1600 degrees. The chart recorder was not set at the correct time indicating a few minutes before 4 pm, when it was actually after 4 (see same photo #16). A handwritten note on the chart, TOC 4:15, was observed and photographed. When the operator was questioned he said that he had planned to wait for me and start the cremation at 4:15, however the consultant told him to go ahead and start at 3:45. I explained that the consultant observes, and the operator manages the cremation. He had already written 4:15 on the chart and in the operator's logbook. I explained that an error could be corrected by drawing a line through the error and initialing. He did this for the operator's logbook and the chart recorder, changing the TOC from 4:15 to 3:45. I explained to him, how his record, the recorded chart, inaccurately reflects the conditions of this cremation. I explained the importance of accurate records for a self monitored facility.

A VE report for this cremation was submitted to the Department by Mr. Curry on September 3, 2008. The report indicated uninterrupted VE readings from 1545 until 1645 hours. This VE test and report shall not be accepted by the Department for two reasons. The test was started prior to the scheduled time of 4 pm, whereby the Department did not have an opportunity to observe the entire VE test. Also, I observed Mr. Curry inside the building during the same period of time that he reported taking opacity readings every 15 seconds. I did not perform a VE test during the cremation. **Another VE test must be performed.**

The Crematory is only used occasionally. A single chart is used for several cremations over a period of several days with the date and time handwritten on the chart. The handwritten notes should accurately reflect the temperatures during the cremation and the time/date. On a positive note, there was ink in the recorder pen. Also, instead of boxes or boards, the bodies are now wrapped in a blanket and slid into the chamber on a plastic EMT type carrier over cardboard rollers. The carrier is removed so that the only container cremated is the blanket.

Photos taken during this inspection are attached to this report.