S FLOKIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0870046 DATE: <u>03/08/2007</u> FACILITY NAME: Rockland Key Concrete Batch Plant FACILITY LOCATION: 121 US Hwy 1	ARRIVE: <u>0900 hrs</u> t	DEPART: <u>1000 hrs</u>	
Rockland Key RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: JON KOEPKE CONTACT NAME: Daniel Beatty REMITTANCE YEAR: <u>2007</u> ENTITL		941)748-1280 239)597-0278 / 6/25/2009 (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
 PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREN</u> (check appropriate box(es)) <u>Stack Emissions</u> Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)?	site visit according to EPA Metho s), and other enclosed storage and c emissions to 5 percent opacity? ector exhaust points was the loadin ading rate, or at least at the minimu- peration controlled by the silo dust of tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer the visible emissions test?	d 9 (Ref.: Chapter ⊠Yes □ No conveying equipment ⊠Yes □ No g of the silo conducted um 25 tons per hour rate, ⊠Yes □ No collector? (If answer r is "No" then ⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No hal batching rate and ⊠Yes □ No ctor, which is separate her) dust collector	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
 <u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) ∑Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes Yes No
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)	e 🗌
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processir plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below.</i>)	ng □Yes
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	Yes
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	

calendar year?	🗌 Yes 📙 No
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

⊠ No □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? [Yes] No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes] No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? Ves No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? [Yes] No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1. 5	Sinc	the last inspection has there been		
	a)	installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🛛 No
	b)	alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No No
	c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
		recent notification form?	Yes	🗌 No
	d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
		notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
		local program office?	Yes	No No

Barbara Nevins

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Buckeyen Therman

Inspector's Signature

03/08/07

Date of Inspection

03/2008

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: This was an annual inspection where a visible emission test performed by Daniel Beatty were observed. The test was of the flyash silo only, with 0% opacity observed. The concrete silo VE test was performed 1-31-07.