| FLORIDA | | <u>BATCHING PL</u> | Envir | onmental |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| INSPECTION TYPE: | ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) | COMPLAINT/DISCO ARMS COMPLAINT | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION OWNER/AUTHORIZH CONTACT NAME: | EMEX-TAVERNIER READY-MIX | 61 REY PORTER PHO | DEPART: DNE: (561)820-8415 DNE: | - |
| PART I: INSPECTION | (effective date) (end date) <u>N COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check CE MINOR Non-COMPLI | - | CANT Non-COMPLIANC | E |
| (check ☑ appropria <u>Stack Emissions</u> Were visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from controlled to the During visible emistion is resulted to the During visible emissions from the silo dust | ECORDKEEPING REQUIREMI the box(es)) ssions tests conducted during this si om silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), extent necessary to limit visible em nissions tests of the silo dust collect presentative of the normal silo load s unachievable in practice? | and other enclosed storagissions to 5 percent opacitor exhaust points was the ing rate, or at least at the ration controlled by the signs 4.a) and 4.b) below. It has the visible emissions test? Any rate representative of the visible emissions test and the representative of the sign are controlled by a dust state of the weigh hopped to the sign of the weight of the weight of the weight of the sign of the weight | Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter ge and conveying equipmenty? | nt - XYes No vited - rate, Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No ate |

| PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) |
|---|
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es) |
| Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) Xerometric Compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) |
| New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) |
| 2. Did this facility demonstrate: |
| a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? |
| b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? Yes No |
| Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) |
| 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? ⊠Yes □ No |
| Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) |
| Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes □ No |

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

| (check 🗹 | appropriate box(es)) |
|----------|----------------------|
|----------|----------------------|

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

| 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mine plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>) | on is YES, Yes 🖾 No |
|--|------------------------|
| b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gall | |
| calendar year? | Yes 🗌 No |
| c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? | Yes 🗌 No |
| d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less? | Yes No |
| 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to accoun | it for: |
| a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? | |
| b) material processed on a monthly basis? | Xes 🗍 No |
| c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)? | Yes No |

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

| - 1) | paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? |
|------|---|
| 2) | application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control |
| | emissions? Xes No |
| 3) | removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to |
| | re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes No |
| 4) | reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of |
| | particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No |
| | |

b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?----- 🛛 es 🗌 No

Barbara Nevins

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Barbara Nevinos

Inspector's Signature

07-21-2009

Date of Inspection

07-21-2010

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: VE tests of the Concrete and Flyash silos were observed. Zero emissions were observed during the test.