	WORK WOT	ECTION	10.
O.	An N	1ª	
FI	ORIDA	17	1
2	OKIDI		4

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 0870017 DATE: <u>03/02/2006</u> FACILITY NAME: TAVERNIER BATCH PLANT	ARRIVE: <u>1300 hrs</u> DEPART: <u>1415 hrs</u>			
FACILITY LOCATION: 92501 Overseas Highwa TAVERNIER 34110	y			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: DANIEL BEATTY CONTACT NAME:	PHONE: (239)597-3162 PHONE:			
	EMENT PERIOD: / (effective date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
 Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible e During visible emissions tests of the silo dust colle at a rate that is representative of the normal silo lo unless such rate is unachievable in practice?4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) op to this question is "Yes", then continue on to quest skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)-a) Was the batching operation in operation during b) During the visible emissions test, was the batch duration?	a site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter S), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment emissions to 5 percent opacity? XYes No ector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted ading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, Yes No peration controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then XYes No s the visible emissions test? XYes No hing rate representative of the normal batching rate and 			
conducted while batching at a fate that is represent	tative of the normal batching rate and duration? □Yes □ No			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (<i>continued</i>) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? □Yes □ No b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? □Yes □ No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Complexity
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹	appropriate box(es))
----------	----------------------

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠ only one box.*)

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processi plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> ,	ing
	then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year?	□Yes □ No
		□Yes □ No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No
3	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
5.		🗌 Yes 🖾 No
		\square Yes \square No
	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	$\Box Yes \boxtimes No$

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions?XYes No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes] No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles?
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No

PART IV: Special CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- D) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?-----

c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most	
	recent notification form?	🛛 No
d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete	
	notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	
	local program office?	🗌 No

Barbara Nevins

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

03/02/2006

Barbara Nevins

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS:

Date of Inspection

03/02/2007