

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)				
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0950024 DATE: <u>6/7/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>07:58AM</u> DEPART:	10:21AM			
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK/CARDER ROAD PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 5109 CARDER RD				
ORLANDO 32802-				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: LORI SANVILLE Email: CONTACT NAME: Gerardo Mercado. Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/3/2008 / 8/3/2013  PHONE: (407)298-196 Mobile: Mobile:				
(effective date) (end date)				
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
DADT H. ONCUTE INTRODUCTORY MEETING				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Lori Sanville	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JAMES JOHNSON?	☐ Yes ⊠No			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still?  If no, who is?:				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	- ⊠ Yes □No ⊠ Yes □No			

# Emissions Unit Section 1 -CONCRETE BATCH PLANT W/GROUND MOUNTED BAGHOUSE CONTROL subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 6/8/2010 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	☐ Yes	only one question)  No
PA	If not, what was the problem (if known)?  ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?  a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?  b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0.0 % for the highest six-minute average.  c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?  If not, what was the problem (if known)?	<ul><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li></ul>	No No No
	<ul> <li>d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A - silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	ded during ins Yes Yes  h. Yes te and Yes tes its separate ector	
2.	2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	es.  Yes  Yes  Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 2 - CONCRETE BLOCK PLANT W/BAGHOUSE CONTROL subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1. Date of last inspection: 6/8/2010 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes
<ul> <li>i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour</li> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? -	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0.0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the	
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \( \subseteq \text{ Yes} \) \( \subseteq \text{ No} \) \( \subseteq \text{ N/A} - \text{silo} \) e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	
f. What was the silo loading rate? 31.38 tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collect	or? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ as	nd go to h.
<ol> <li>Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal bat</li> </ol>	
duration?	
<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collect</li> </ul>	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and c2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	dust collector duration? Yes No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	' ⊠ Yes □ No
<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0.0 % for the highest six-minute average</li> </ul>	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? 34.9 tons/hour.	

## **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(ch	ack 🔽 (	only one
				juestion)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes	No No No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM gal	ane/yr e/yr	<u>≤</u> 1.00°	?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption	Yes	⊠ No
<u>G</u> I	ENERAL CONDITIONS			only one question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🔲 '	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	$\square$	Vac	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🛛		
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Vec	☐ No
	permit and Department rules.	$\boldsymbol{L}$	100	110

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹 box for each	-
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (I			-1.************************************
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific</li> </ul>	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busin c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification.	ess days following a relocation?ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)	Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate at			∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose?	in that separate permit: rpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)		☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?		- Yes Yes	□ No □ No
II certarana			
CHANGES  Administrative Changes:		(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of		box for each	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation	n of the facility or any emissions uni	box for each dive not ts or	question)
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days	n of the facility or any emissions uni inistrative change at the facility?	box for each cive not ts or Yes	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	n of the facility or any emissions uni inistrative change at the facility?	box for each cive not ts or Yes	question)
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions unitinistrative change at the facility? of the change?	box for each clive not ts or Yes Yes Yes	question)  No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions unitinistrative change at the facility? of the change?	box for each clive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)  No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions unitinistrative change at the facility? of the change?	box for each cive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)  No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subs d. A change in ownership?	n of the facility or any emissions unitinistrative change at the facility? of the change? tantially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub-	box for each of the tive not ts or   Yes   Yes	question)  No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subs d. A change in ownership?	n of the facility or any emissions unitinistrative change at the facility? of the change? tantially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub-	box for each of the tive not ts or   Yes   Mitted	question)  No No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subs d. A change in ownership?  4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration.	n of the facility or any emissions unitinistrative change at the facility? of the change? tantially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub-	box for each of the tive not ts or   Yes   Mitted	question)  No No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subs d. A change in ownership?  4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	n of the facility or any emissions unitinistrative change at the facility? of the change? tantially different? on form and the appropriate fee subsections.	box for each of the tive not ts or   Yes   Mitted	question)  No No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions unitalistrative change at the facility? of the change? tantially different? on form and the appropriate fee subsection of the change?	box for each of the tive not ts or   Yes   Mitted	question)  No No No No No No No No

**COMMENTS:** Assefa Hailemariam from Orange County EPD met Sara Greivll Consultant from Grove Scientific and Engineering, at the concrete batch and block plant in 5109 Carder Road, Orlando Florida. Two VES were conducted on this date. All the loading rate were with acceptable and observed opacity zero percent in all emission units. Yards was very dust and most of the roads were dry, no dust or PM was not leaving the property. No water truck was observed operating during the inspection on this date.