

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2	NNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FU	I) ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0950024 DA	TE: <u>6/8/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>08:00</u>	DEPART: <u>10:30</u>	
FACILITY NAME: FL	ORIDA ROCK/CARDE	R ROAD PLANT		
FACILITY LOCATION	<b>N:</b> 5109 CARDER 1	RD		
	ORLANDO 32	2802		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE	E: KATHERINE CHUMLEY	<b>PHONE:</b> (904)380-0130	
CONTACT NAME:	VTACT NAME: PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERI		/2013 d date)		
⊠ IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non	n-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: TESTING/RE		<u> OUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414,	, F.A.C.	
62-297, F.A.C.)?- 2. Are emissions from controlled to the example of the example	om silos, weigh hoppers (lextent necessary to limit values in the silo dispresentative of the normal is unachievable in practice of the weigh hopper (bates "Yes", then continue on and continue on to quest ing operation in operation is the weigh hopper (batch in the weigh in the we	batchers), and other enclosed storage visible emissions to 5 percent opacit lust collector exhaust points was the al silo loading rate, or at least at the re?	we and conveying equipment ty?	
		emissions tests of the weigh hopper		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable  ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check  only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing ☐Yes ☑ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take	reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:					
<ul> <li>a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control</li> </ul>					
emissions?		⊠Yes □ No			
<ul><li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other p</li><li>re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to r</li><li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind</li></ul>	⊠Yes □ No				
particulate matter from stock piles?					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate e	emissions at the drop point to the truck?	∐Yes ⊠ No			
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>					
Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process equipment?					
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?					
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?					
recent notification form? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No d) If you answered <b>YES</b> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?					
Assefa Hailemariam	6/8/2010				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_			
inspector's realite (1 lease 1 line)	Date of hispection				
	~6/2011				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_			

**COMMENTS:** Notice fugitive emissions when tanker finshed loading the flyash. Pressurized tanker caused cement dust to blow out of the cement silo work order submitted to fixed the problem.