A States
FLORIDA
10-000000000

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

		COMPLAINT/DISCO ARMS COMPLAINT				
AIRS ID#: 0950024 DATE: <u>9/1/2006</u> ARRIVE: <u>09:00</u> DEPART: <u>11:30 AM</u>						
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA RO	CK/CARDER ROAD PL	ANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 510	9 CARDER RD					
OR	LANDO 32802-					
<b>RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:</b>		PHO	<b>DNE:</b> (904)355-1781			
CONTACT NAME: Blake		PHO	<b>DNE:</b> (407)253-30			
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLEME	NT PERIOD: 8/28/2 (effectiv				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)         ☑ IN COMPLIANCE       ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE       ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
<ol> <li>Are emissions from silos, weil controlled to the extent necession.</li> <li>During visible emissions tests at a rate that is representative unless such rate is unachieval.</li> <li>Are emissions from the weigh to this question is "Yes", then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continua.</li> <li>Was the batching operation b) During the visible emission duration?</li></ol>	conducted during this site v igh hoppers (batchers), and sary to limit visible emissi s of the silo dust collector of of the normal silo loading ble in practice?	visit according to EPA d other enclosed storagons to 5 percent opact exhaust points was the rate, or at least at the on controlled by the si 4.a) and 4.b) below. It visible emissions test? ate representative of t are controlled by a dusts of the weigh hoppe	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter ge and conveying equipment ity? A ge loading of the silo conducted minimum 25 tons per hour rate, A ge loading of the silo conducted minimum 25 tons per hour rate, A ge loading of the silo conducted minimum 25 tons per hour rate, A ge loading of the silo conducted minimum 25 tons per hour rate, A ge loading of the silo conducted minimum 25 tons per hour rate, A ge loading of the silo conducted minimum 25 tons per hour rate, A ge loading of the silo conducted minimum 25 tons per hour rate, A ge loading of the silo conducted A ge loading of the silo conducted 	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
<ul> <li><u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)</li> <li>1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) ∑Yes ∑Yes</li> </ul>
<b>New Facilities</b> – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes ] No
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes X No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes □ No</li> </ul>

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	
<ol> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable [ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)</li> </ol>	
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> ,	2
	Yes No
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	Yes 🗌 No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
calendar year?	Yes 🗌 No
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	Yes 🗌 No
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No

	a) is the fact off surface concent 0.5% by weight of ress:	
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No □Yes □ No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes 🗌 No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
	emissions?	∐Yes 🗌 No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator	to
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	∐Yes 🗌 No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
	particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠Yes □ No
)	) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No

## PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- C) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?------ C) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?-----

Ilka Bundy

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

9/1/06 Date of Inspection

7/28/07

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** The inspector conducted VEs on the block plant and the readymix plant on 9/1/06. The block plant had an opacity of 0% and the loading rate was 32.47 tph. The readymix plant had an opacity of 0% and the loading rate was 32.16 tph. The yard at this facility is mostly dirt on the south side of the property. It was wet down. Part of the yard that is used for block storage is paved. A shroud is used at the drop point to the trucks for loading of the final product. No emissions were observed during the batching process. No unconfined or uncontrolled emissions were observed during the test. The tons of cement produced at the readymix plant was 19,200 tons and operated 2,915 hours for one year. The tons cement processed at the block plant was 22,308 tons and operated 6,864 hours for one year. The time for the yearly numbers was not provided; therefore, it is assumed that the numbers provided from the consultant is the most current twelve month period. Testing was conducted 4 days after the required date instead of the 60 days prior to the AGP notification submittal date anniversary. The consultant was notified of the testing date requirements to help meet future testing date requirements.