

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)   COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)   RE-INSPECTION (FUI)   ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0710116 DATE: <u>2/16/11</u> ARRIVE: <u>7:40 am</u> DEPART	Г: <u>8:40 am</u>				
FACILITY NAME: Prestige Gunite					
FACILITY LOCATION: 16401 OLD US HWY 41					
FORT MYERS 33912					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL MAHONEY Email: mmahoney@prestige-gunite.com CONTACT NAME: ANGELA JOHNSON Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/17/2008 / 1/17/2013 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (561)478-9 Mobile: PHONE: (239)590-0 Mobile:					
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still MICHAEL MAHONEY?	🛚 Yes 🗀No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still ANGELA JOHNSON?					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?  If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?					

# Emissions Unit Section 1 -West Cement silo with single baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
	Date of last inspection: $\underline{1/26/10}$	box for each	question)
	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	∑ Yes	∐ No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	∐ No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? ————————————————————————————————————	☐ Yes	☐ No
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 33.8 tons/hour	<ul><li>∑ Yes</li><li>∑ Yes</li></ul>	☐ No ☐ No
	h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A  i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	☐ No
DA	DT H. CTACV EMICSIONS from a sile visial homosylectoken) on other		
PA	RT II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹	only one
	enciosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes	□ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
	that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A – silo not load		
	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	- 🔀 Yes	∐ No
	f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ and go to	Yes	☐ No
	1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes Yes	☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	- Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth.</li> <li>1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which</li> </ul>		
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.		
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut	? Yes	☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	d. What was the process rate? 24.75 tons/hour.		

# Emissions Unit Section 2 –East cement silo with single baghouse. subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment    Check	box for each question)  the past 4 calendar years?	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the curre.  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performe operation?
enclosed storage and conveying equipment    Lower box for each question   Dox for each question		The first has the protein (it known).
<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li></ul>		
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.  c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	y the facility for this unit during this site visit? 🖂 Yes 🗀 No	1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the fa
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate?  Yes  No  N/A – silo not loaded during inspection.  e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?  Yes  No  f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour  g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?  Yes  No  If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to h.  1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?  Yes  No  2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  Yes  No  3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes	e compliance with the 5% opacity limit? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate comp
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?  Yes  If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to h.  1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?  Yes  No.  2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  Yes  No.  3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes	rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? Yes No	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? Yes  2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes  3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes	tcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher)
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?		
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes	s the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and	2) During the visible emissions test, was the ba
l n li it emissions from the weigh honner (hatcher) operation are controlled by a dilet collector which is concrete	tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minutes	3) What was the batching rate? tons/he
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector	sible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector	from the silo dust collector, was the visible en
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes	tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes	2) What was the batching rate? tons/ho
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 1.45 % for the highest six-minute average.	pacity of 1.45 % for the highest six-minute average.  e compliance with the 5% opacity limit?   Yes   No	<ul><li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of</li><li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate comp</li></ul>

### **Facility Section (continued)**

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>✓</b> box for each	only one h question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared		00?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one h question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?  b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	· X Yes	☐ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary	ary and relocatable	(check 🗹 box for each	-
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only</i> a		question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		Yes	⊠ No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local A e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification F</li> </ul>	o changing location?	Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business day c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification For the empression Department or Local Air Program at least five business.	orm [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)	]	□ No □ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five busine  3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air cons	struction or air operation pern		<u> </u>
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i. If YES, what was the purpose?		? Yes	⊠ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?			☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES		(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
Administrative Changes		DON TOT CUCH	question)
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facilities associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the			
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fact associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrati</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> </ol>	e facility or any emissions unitive change at the facility?	ts or Yes	⊠ No □ No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the faci associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrati</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li></ol>	e facility or any emissions unitive change at the facility?change?	ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fact associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administratic.  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the one New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	e facility or any emissions unitive change at the facility?change?	ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No □ No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the faci associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrati</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the one of Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	e facility or any emissions unitive change at the facility? change? y different? and the appropriate fee subr	ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fact associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrati</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the one of Mew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	e facility or any emissions unitive change at the facility? change? y different? and the appropriate fee subr	ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Initted	No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fact associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration.</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the one of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	e facility or any emissions unitive change at the facility?change?y different?	ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Initted	No No No No No No
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the faci associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrati 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————	e facility or any emissions unitive change at the facility?change?y different?	ts or	No No No No No No No