OWNERFUL PROTECTION
States Conne
FLORIDA

## HUMAN CREMATORY



## **COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST**

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)       ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0950022 DATE: <u>7/10/2008</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:50 AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:0</u>	<u>05 AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: METRO CREMATORY	
FACILITY LOCATION: 751 S BLUFORD AVE	
OCOEE 32761	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Jim Tramonte PHONE: (407)656-8781	
CONTACT NAME: Mike Stephens PHONE: (407)656-8781	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/10/2003 / 8/10/2008 (effective date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)	
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIAN	CE
	U
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	⊠Yes 🗌 No 50 ?
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	⊠Yes □ No 50
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ul>	⊠Yes □ No 50 ? ⊠Yes □ No C.) □Yes □No
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ul>	⊠Yes □ No 50 ? ⊠Yes □ No C.) □Yes □No
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ul>	⊠Yes □ No 50 ? ⊠Yes □ No C.) □Yes □No 1 □Yes □ No □Yes □ No
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li></ul>	⊠Yes □ No 50 ? ⊠Yes □ No C.) □Yes □No 1 □Yes □ No □Yes □ No
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes ∐ No
2) Monitoring device	Xes 🗌 No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	Yes 🗌 No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	Yes 🗌 No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes 🗌 No
6) Adjustments	Yes 🗌 No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes 🗌 No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed <b><u>BEFORE</u></b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	∐Yes ∐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	Yes 🗌 No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes No
4. If constructed <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tir	ne
@ 1800° F?	Yes 🗌 No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1600°F</b>	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes 🗌 No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	f
their use and for at least two years after their use?	Yes 🗌 No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	🗌 Yes 🕅 No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	Yes 🗌 No
or the operator is employment to for an additional two years after termination of employment?	

## PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.

A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	<b>Yes</b>	No
2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construction permit	_	_
was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit?	Yes	No
3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit was	_	_
required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates?	Yes	No
a) submitted within the 15 day required window following the training?	Yes	∐No

Ilka Bundy

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

7/10/08 Date of Inspection

7/10/09

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** The east-most incinerator, Ener-Tek IE7E Model IE43ET, has a rusty stack. This unit was tested for 60 minutes. The observed opacity was zero percent. The operating rate for this unit is 160 lbs/hour. A 150 lb body was incinerated. The afterburner temperature was greater than 1600  $^{\circ}$  F. The paper recorder was reading 84  $^{\circ}$  below the digital temperature. A service call was placed and the recorder was recalibrated to correct the temperature reading on 7/11/08. The west-most incinerator, a Super Power Pak, Model IE43-SPP, was also tested for visible emissions. The observed oapcity was zero percent. The operating rate for this unit is also 160 lb/hr. A 150 lb body was incinerated. The afterburner temperature was greater than 1600  $^{\circ}$  F.