

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN		ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	_	AINT/DISCOV OMPLAINT N	· / <u>-</u>	
AIRS ID#: 0710068 DATE: <u>02/21/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>7:45 a.m.</u> DEPART: <u>10:00 a.m.</u>						
FA	ACILITY NAME: ROC	CKFILL RD READY-MIX	FACILITY			
FA	CILITY LOCATION:	2225 ROCKFILL RI	D			
		FORT MYERS 33	916-4817			
CC	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JASON JONES* PHONE: (813)269-1240 Email: jasonp.jones@cemex.com Mobile: (813)363-6112 CONTACT NAME: JASON JONES* PHONE: (813)269-1240 Email: jasonp.jones@cemex.com Mobile: (813)363-6112 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 (effective date) (end date)					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
		ODUCTORY MEETING esentative(s): Richard Nies			(check 🗹 box for eac	only one h question)
2.	Is the Authorized Repre If no, who is?:	sentative still JASON JON	ES*?		X Yes	□No
3.		ity provide an administrativ				□No □No
4.	•	ing VE test(s) during today ace authority notified at least				□No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-weigh hopper/loadout w/central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			only one question)	
2.	Date of last inspection: 05/19/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\Delta N/A \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
Un	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and nveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:				
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No□ No□ No□ No	
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No	
	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-split bin (flyash) compartment #1 w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each		
	Date of last inspection: 05/19/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No	
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards			question)	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 				
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	□ No	
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No	
	particulate matter from stock piles?		□ No	
2	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? If reasonable precautions not being taken:	⊠ Yes	∐ No	
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-split bin (flyash) compartment #2 w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		only one question)
Date of last inspection: 05/19/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by: Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	following: Yes	□ No□ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

9	CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	\boxtimes	Yes	No No No
2	2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
. 3	3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propare		<u>:</u> ≤ 1.00	?
2	4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	otion	Yes	☐ No
_				
9	GENERAL CONDITIONS			
	 Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control 			
	devices?	- 🔲	Yes	⊠ No
4	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
	3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general			
	permit and Department rules?	· 🔼	Yes	∐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one				
 Is the facility: stationary ∑; relocatable ☐; or consisting o 	hov for eac	•		
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing pla		2.)		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cemen	nt and			
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	⊠ No		
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c be				
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departm		□ N.		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busine b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation		∐ No		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five		□ No		
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation 1				
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at le		☐ No		
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a se	parate air construction or air operation permit,			
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission				
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-rout	ine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes	⊠ No		
If YES, what was the purpose?	1			
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how co-located at the permitted facility?		□ No		
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in durat	tion? Yes	∐ No □ No		
11 122, were any periods more dam's mondis in dam's				
CHANGES	(check 💆	only one		
	box for eac			
Administrative Changes:		1 ,		
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone num				
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re- operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mine		⊠ No		
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3		□ No		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:				
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been				
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	⊠ No		
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replac	ement? Yes	$\overline{\boxtimes}$ No		
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that	is substantially different? Yes	⊠ No		
d. A change in ownership?	Yes	⊠ No		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re	gistration form and the appropriate fee submitted			
30 days prior to the change?		☐ No		
DODEDIT A CITEWAND	22/24/2242			
ROBERT J. STEWART	02/21/2013			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
$\bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{i} \bigcap_{j} \bigcap_{j$				
Robert J. Stewart				
	02/2015			
	V-1-0-10			
Inguactor's Signature	Ammovimento Data of Nant Transaction			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

COMMENTS: VE Tests conducted at facility. Inspector conducted VE test for weighhopper loadout, EU02 Northeast Silo baghouse d.c. & EU03 Southwest Silo baghouse d.c.