

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 0710028 DATE: <u>12/20/2010</u>	ARRIVE: 8:00 a.m.	DEPART: 9:20 a.m.			
FACILITY NAME: PONDELLA RD R-M PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 1409 PONDELLA RD					
CAPE CORAL 33909					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: KATHE Email: CONTACT NAME: MARK TOLAR Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/23/2008 / 11/23/201 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(904)380-0130 (239)707-3336 (239)707-3336			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:		(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still KATHIE CHUML If no, who is?:	EY?				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative upon 3. Is the facility contact still MARK TOLAR?	date within 30 days?	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's ins If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15					

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-2silos1cement1flyash,batcher,loadoutwcent.baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1. Date of last inspection: 07/07/2009 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	∑ Yes∑ Yes	□ No □ No	
operation?	☐ Yes	☐ No	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	☐ Yes ☑ Yes	☐ No ☐ No	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	□ No	
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check ☑	only one	
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	•	
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0.00</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	□ No	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loaded during inspection.			
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? f. What was the silo loading rate? 28.48 tons/hour		No No	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.11 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.11 - g.3$ and go to	Yes Yes	☐ No	
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	☐ No	
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching raduration?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minutesh. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate			
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.	Yes	☐ No	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No	
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0.00 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? 28.48 tons/hour. 	☐ Yes	☐ No	

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	 No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared	<u>ane/yr < 1.00</u> ne/yr)?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption	☐ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	∇ Vas	□ No
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	_	∐ No
3	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	☐ No
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only sta</i>	y and relocatable box for each question)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (<i>If YES</i> , <i>answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO</i> , <i>answer question 2.c below.</i>) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to a	r Program by telephone,
 b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Fortonto to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days to Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Fortonto the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business 	rm [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] following a relocation? Yes No m [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air constraint the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that sea. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was	eparate permit:
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes No No No
CHANGES Administrative Changes	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facili associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	acility or any emissions units or e change at the facility? Yes No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially d. A change in ownership?	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form a 30 days prior to the change?	
ROBERT J. STEWART	12/20/2010
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date	e of Inspection
	12/2011
$\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{N}_{i} \mathcal{N}_{i}$	
Robert J. Stewart	

COMMENTS: For the cement silo 27.06 tons of cement loaded in 57 minutes, loading rate of 28.48 tons/hr. Split silo loading slag of 25.89 tons loaded in 49 minutes, loading rate of 31.70 tons/hr.