| OWERTAL PROTECTION | |
|--------------------|--|
| Same Harry | |
| FLORIDA | |

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO: | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0710024 DATE: 12/22/08 ARRIVE: 8:00 am DEPART: 12:00 | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME: BONITA SPRINGS READY MIX PLANT | | | | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 25091 OLD US 41 S | | | | | |
| BONITA SPRINGS 34135 | | | | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID GUILLAUME PHONE: (770)392-5300 | | | | | |
| CONTACT NAME: Wayne Benner PHONE: (239)992-1400 | | | | | |
| ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/15/2007 / 12/15/2012 (effective date) (end date) | | | | | |
| PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | | |
| PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) | | | | | |
| Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? | No No No No | | | | |

| PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) | | |
|--|--|--|
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es) | | |
| Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) ∑Yes ∑Yes | | |
| New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) | | |
| 2. Did this facility demonstrate: | | |
| a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? | | |
| b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No | | |
| Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity (Xest Complexity) | | |
| Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □ No | | |

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) |
|---|
| 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>) |

| 2. | If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>), <i>below</i>.) | ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No |
|----|---|--|
| 3. | Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)? | ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No |

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

| | 1) | paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes No |
|----|-----|--|
| | 2) | application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control |
| | | emissions? Xes No |
| | 3) | removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to |
| | | re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes No |
| | 4) | reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of |
| | | particulate matter from stock piles? Xes No |
| b) | use | e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No |

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- Description Yes No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- Yes Yes No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?------ Yes No d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete

| a) | If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete | | |
|----|---|-----|------|
| | notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or | | |
| | local program office? | Yes | 🗌 No |

Sherrill Culliver

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

12/22/08

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Emission unit 6's highest six minute reading was 7% opacity. The Department infromed the operations manager that the silo needs to be checked and retested. Mr. Brenner infromed the Department that he needs to reduce the flyash silo the schedule another tanker for testing.

All emission unit were not tested. Emission units 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 12 were tested. Emission units 2, 3 and block plant needs to be tested. Block plant is on a separate GP - 0710261.