

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0710019 DATE: <u>07/08/10</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:05</u> DEPART: <u>12:05</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK INDUSTRIES INC.			
FACILITY LOCATION: 16790 Gator Road			
FORT MYERS			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: bob marion PHONE: (239)267-3500			
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/14/2007 / 1/14/2012			
(effective date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
DARTH MEGENIC DECORDATEDING DECLINEMENTS D. L. CA. 407, 414 E. A. C.			
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
Stack Emissions			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a)</i>, <i>thru 2.d)</i>, <i>below</i>.)	ing ☐Yes ☑ No ☐Yes ☐ No
 (check ppropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant tak emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, sto 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-s emissions?	ards, which shall include one or more of the follock piles, and yards?	<pre></pre>		
-, and the expense control of management	The state of the s			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - 1	2ula 62-210 300(4)(d)4 F A C			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	XIIIC 02-210.500(4)(U)4., F.A.C.			
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?				
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050), FAC) to the appropriate DEP or			
local program office?		□Yes ⊠ No		
Wayne Lewis	07/08/10			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_		
	07/08/11			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_		
COMMENTS:				