

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)  ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0550026 DATE: 7/25/11	ARRIVE: 9 am DEPAR	RT: <u>11am</u>					
FACILITY NAME: SEBRING SEPTIC TANK &	PRECAST CO						
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 8037 ASSOCIATE	BLVD						
SEBRING 33876	5-6616						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: / (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	-2030					
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)							
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-C	COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COM	4PLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	<u>G</u>	(check ☑ only one					
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):		box for each question)					
Brief Notes:							
2. Is the Authorized Representative still WARREN (If no, who is?:	COPELAND?	⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrat  3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:	tive update within 30 days?						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during todal If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least							

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse, 200 Bbl capacity subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1. Date of last inspection: 7/6/10 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	☐ Yes	only one question)  No No No No No No No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	□ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	ded during ins	
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	- M 168	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go to	Yes h.	☐ No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes	☐ No
During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?  3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minutes.	- Yes	☐ No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.	? Yes es	□ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?  a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		☐ No ☐ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 1.04 % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>d. What was the process rate? 29.06 tons/hour.</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes	☐ No

## **Facility Section (continued)**

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>v</b> box for each		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No	
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No	
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>	
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared		0?	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		⊠ No	
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	∏ Yes	⊠ No	
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?  b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	_	⊠ No	
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	⊠ No	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	Yes	⊠ No	

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	and relevatable	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both static concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If on</i>		g question 2.)	1
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		- Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Loca e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prio</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificatio</li> </ul>	or to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business of c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business.	lays following a relocation? a Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	- Yes	□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air c and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in the second control of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility of the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility of the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility of the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility of the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility of the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility of the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility of the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility of the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility of the relocatable batch	onstruction or air operation per		
<ul><li>a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose If YES, what was the purpose?</li><li>b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was</li></ul>		)?	☐ No
co-located at the permitted facility?  If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		Yes Yes	□ No □ No
CHANCEC			
CHANGES		(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the factor of the fact	facility or authorized representa	tive not	,
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of	the facility or any emissions un	its or	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	rative change at the facility?	- Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration.  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	rative change at the facility? ne change?	-	No No No
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration.  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	rative change at the facility? ne change? ally different?	-	⊠ No ⊠ No
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administs 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	rative change at the facility? ne change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee sub	-	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration.  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	rative change at the facility? ne change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee sub	-	No No No No No No No
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administs 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	rative change at the facility? ne change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee sub	-	No No No No No No No
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration.  If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	rative change at the facility? ne change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee sub	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Mitted Yes	No No No No No No