WHENTIAL PROTECTION
Some Cane
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA	ISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0950013 DA FACILITY NAME: GC FACILITY LOCATION	DLDENROD	ARRIVE: <u>10:10</u>	DEPART: <u>12:10</u>	
OWNER/AUTHORIZE CONTACT NAME:	WINTER PARK 32792 D REPRESENTATIVE: DAV	/ID GUILLAUME	PHONE: (770)392-5300 PHONE:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 12/12/2007 / 12/12/20 (effective date) (end date))	
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COMP	'LIANCE SIG	NIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
 (check ☑ appropriat <u>Stack Emissions</u> Were visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- Are emissions fro controlled to the e During visible emia at a rate that is repunless such rate is Are emissions fro to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b) Was the batchii During the vis duration?	sions tests conducted during this m silos, weigh hoppers (batchers extent necessary to limit visible en- sissions tests of the silo dust colle presentative of the normal silo loa s unachievable in practice?	site visit according to site visit according to missions to 5 percent ector exhaust points w ading rate, or at least a peration controlled by tions 4.a) and 4.b) below the visible emissions ning rate representative ation are controlled by ns tests of the weigh b	• EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter storage and conveying equipment opacity?	 ☐Yes ☐ No ate, ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No -☐Yes ☐ No e

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xes Yes No
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check M appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)

2.	 If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processis plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i>.)	ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
		emissions?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator	r to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
			🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1.	Sinc	the last inspection has there been		
	a)	installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	
	b)	alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
	c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
		recent notification form?	Yes	🛛 No
	d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
		notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
		local program office?	Yes	No No

Assefa Hailemariam

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

7/16/09

Date of Inspection

~7/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: All observed opacity for all EU's tested was 0%. All loading rates were acceptable.