- ROHM	NTAL PROTECTION	Sec.
dimen.	N	Sales.
FLO	RIDA	
3110	MDA	لله

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV				
AIRS ID#: 0950013 DATE: <u>7/23/08</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:15 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>4:15 PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: GOLDENROD					
FACILITY LOCATION: 4000 Forsyth Rd					
WINTER PARK 32	2792-6803				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: D	AVID GUILLAUME PHON	NE: (770)392-5300			
CONTACT NAME: Ron "Doc" Locke	РНОМ	NE: (407)947-2789			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/12/2007 / 12/12/ (effective date) (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-CO		ANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
 Stack Emissions Were visible emissions tests conducted during the 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ters), and other enclosed storage e emissions to 5 percent opacity ollector exhaust points was the le oloading rate, or at least at the m operation controlled by the silo testions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a 5.)				

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Sestimation form submission and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [Yes] No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

check 🗹 appropriate box(es))
. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2) a relocatable (2); or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable (2) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>)

2.	 If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i>.)	ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes No	
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	1	
		emissions?	- 🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operate	or to	
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Xes No	
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
		particulate matter from stock piles?	Xes 🗌 No	
)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- C) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?------ C) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or

local program office?------
Yes No

Tom Bessa

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

7/23/08

Date of Inspection

7/23/09

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The inspector, Tom Bessa, met with Ryan Peterson, consultant for Arlington Environmental Services, and Ron "Doc" Locke, Plant Manager, on 7/23/08. The cement silo is split with two dust collectors on top. The observed opacity for both dust collectors was zero percent. The loading rates were greater than 25 tph. The flyash silo also had an observed opacity of zero percent. The loading rate was greater than 25 tph. The dust collector for the ready-mix trucks was also tested. The observed opacity was zero percent. There were no objectionable odors detected. No unconfined or uncontolled emissions were observed.