

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		· · ·		
AIRS ID#: 0550008 DATE: 4/27/11	ARRIVE: 7:15 a.m.	DEPART: 9:15 a.m.		
FACILITY NAME: JAHNA CONCRETE-LAKE	PLACID FACILITY			
FACILITY LOCATION: 160 CR 29				
LAKE PLACID	33862-			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: NOEL JAHNA Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/14/2008 / 6/14/2008 (effective date) (end of the contact of th	Mobile PHON Mobile 4/2013	E: (863)449-1969 E: (863)735-1113		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	<u>IG</u>	(check ✓ only one box for each question)		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still FREDERIC If no, who is?:	C JAHNA?			
If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still NOEL JAHNA? If no, who is?:	ative update within 30 days?	Yes		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo(cement) w/silotop APPCO baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 7/6/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	(check ☑ box for each ☐ Yes	only one question) No No No No No
	whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No □ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?		☐ No
	 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector. 	n is separate	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut		⊠ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? 29 tons/hour.	⊠ Yes	□ No

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo(slag) w/silotop BESSER baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		only one
1. Date of last inspection: <u>5/3/10</u>	box for each qu	iestion)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	<u></u>	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?d. Date of last VE test: 5/3/10		□ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days a f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 28.7 tons/hour		☐ No ☐ No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	- ⊠ N/A ☐ Yes [□ No ⊠ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during If not, what was the problem (if known)?	the last VE test? Yes [□ No
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
enclosed storage and conveying equipment		only one
envision storings and conveying equipment	box for each qu	iestion)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this s	site visit? 🛛 Yes [□ No
		_
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-m c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit If not, what was the problem (if known)? 		□ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the lo		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No		_
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practif. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	ice?	No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo of <i>If YES</i> , then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1$		☐ No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the	st?	☐ No
duration?3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching dur	Yes	☐ No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a controlled by		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper		_
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching durated tons/hour.	tion? minutes	□ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this		No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit.		☐ No
d. What was the process rate? <u>40</u> tons/hour.		

Facility Section (continued)

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparation of gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparation of gas/yr 45 MM gal proparation o	e/yr ption)? □ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	□ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary	ationary and relocatable	(check ☑ box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If</i>		g question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		- Yes	□ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Lee-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica 	orior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five b	ion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6))]	□ No
If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate ai and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission unit in the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility that the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility that the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission of the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility that the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility that the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility that the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility that the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility that the relocatable batch plant is not included as a facility tha	r construction or air operation peri		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	ose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it v co-located at the permitted facility?			□ No □ No
CHANGES		(check ☑ box for each	
Administrative Changes:		0 0 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	4
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility or any other similar minor admin	of the facility or any emissions uni	its or	⊠ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days o New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	of the facility or any emissions unistrative change at the facility?	its or - Yes	⊠ No □ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days o New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? - c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substa	of the facility or any emissions unistrative change at the facility? f the change? antially different?	its or - Yes - Yes Yes Yes Yes - Yes - Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days o New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions unistrative change at the facility? f the change? antially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub-	Yes - Yes	 No No No No
 associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days o New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions unistrative change at the facility? f the change? antially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub-	tts or Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
 associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days o New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions unistrative change at the facility? f the change? antially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub-	tts or Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days o New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions unistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub-	tts or Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days o New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions unistrative change at the facility?	its or - Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No