

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

FACILITY NAME: WINTER GARDEN FACILITY LOCATION: 201 HENNIS RD WINTER GARDEN 32787 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DENISE CORRALES PHONE: (813)933-6711 Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: Junior Moss, Plant Manager PHONE: (407)905-4900 Email: Mobile:	
FACILITY NAME: WINTER GARDEN FACILITY LOCATION: 201 HENNIS RD WINTER GARDEN 32787 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DENISE CORRALES PHONE: (813)933-6711 Mobile: CONTACT NAME: Junior Moss, Plant Manager PHONE: (407)905-4900 Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/12/2007 / 12/12/2012 (effective date) (end date)	
FACILITY NAME: WINTER GARDEN FACILITY LOCATION: 201 HENNIS RD WINTER GARDEN 32787 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DENISE CORRALES PHONE: (813)933-6711 Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: Junior Moss, Plant Manager PHONE: (407)905-4900 Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/12/2007 / 12/12/2012 (effective date) (end date)	
FACILITY LOCATION: 201 HENNIS RD WINTER GARDEN 32787 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DENISE CORRALES Email: CONTACT NAME: Junior Moss, Plant Manager PHONE: (407)905-4900 Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/12/2007 / 12/12/2012 (effective date) (end date)	
WINTER GARDEN 32787 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DENISE CORRALES Email: CONTACT NAME: Junior Moss, Plant Manager PHONE: (407)905-4900 Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/12/2007 / 12/12/2012 (effective date) (end date)	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DENISE CORRALES Email: CONTACT NAME: Junior Moss, Plant Manager Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/12/2007 / 12/12/2012 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (813)933-6711 Mobile: PHONE: (407)905-4900 Mobile:	
Email: CONTACT NAME: Junior Moss, Plant Manager Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/12/2007 / 12/12/2012 (effective date) (end date) Mobile: PHONE: (407)905-4900 Mobile: (407)905-4900 Mobile: (407)905-4900 Mobile: (407)905-4900	
CONTACT NAME: Junior Moss, Plant Manager Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/12/2007 / 12/12/2012 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (407)905-4900 Mobile:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/12/2007 / 12/12/2012 (effective date) (end date)	
Facility Section	
Facility Section	
	
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING only one	
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Denise Corrales</u> box for each question	
Brief Notes:	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DENISE CORRALES?	
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CEMENT STORAGE SILO W/BAGHOUSE CONTROL - subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ only one box for each question)
1. Date of last inspection: $8/11/2010$	box for each question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	N/A Yes No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 32.2 tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?	N/A Yes No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the las If not, what was the problem (if known)?	st VE test?
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ only one
chelosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site vis	sit?
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute avera 	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading	
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \(\subseteq \text{ Yes} \) \(\subseteq \text{No} \) \(\subseteq \text{N/A} - \) e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust co	
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g$ 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal duration?	Yes No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration?	
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust co	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (bate conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and the conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and the conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and the conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the weigh hopper (batching rate and the conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and the conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and the conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and the conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and the conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and the conducted while batching rate and the cond	and duration? Yes No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site va. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute avera c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	
d. What was the process rate? 26.88 tons/hour.	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –FLY ASH STORAGE SILO subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 8/11/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	☐ Yes	only one question) No No No No No No No No No
PA	RT II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes Inducted at a reded during ins Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes te and Yes its separate ector Yes Yes Yes	
	 c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? <u>25.71</u> tons/hour. 	⊠ Yes	☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check v box for each	only one h question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal prop		00?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption - Xes	□ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)			
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? ————————————————————————————————————	Yes	⊠ No
۷.	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		□ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	s	□ No□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹		
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s	stationary and relocatable	box for each	question)	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (A		question 2.)		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and				
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		☐ Yes	∐ No	
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	Level A's Davis and Level and Level			
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or le-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day		☐ Yes	□ No	
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific		_		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine			☐ No	
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica				
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	business days prior to relocation?	- Yes	☐ No	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a		it,		
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur) D Vac	☐ No	
If YES, what was the purpose?	pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)	, L 1Cs	110	
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it	was			
co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes Yes	☐ No	
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		☐ Yes	☐ No	
CHANGES		(check 🗹	*	
Administrative Changes:		box for each	question)	
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of	the facility or authorized representati	ve not		
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation				
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi			⊠ No	
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days	of the change?	Yes	∐ No	
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been				
a. Installation of any new process equipment?		- Yes	⊠ No	
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	·	Yes	⊠ No	
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subst			⊠ No	
d. A change in ownership?			⊠ No	
4 IC4		.tu . 1		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?		11tted Yes	☐ No	
30 days prior to the change?		105	110	
Norma Ali	3/23/11			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	12/31/2012			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection		
COMMENTS: Norma Ali met with Noah Handley from Arlington F	nvironmental Services and Mr. Junio	or Moss Plan	nt Manager to	
COMMENTS: Norma Ali met with Noah Handley from Arlington Environmental Services and Mr. Junior Moss, Plant Manager to audit the visual emission test for all facility EU's.				
EU001 Cement loading - Loading Rate = 27.37 tph opacity observed = 0%				
EU002 Fly Ash loading - Loading rate = 25.71 tph opacity observed = 0%				
EU003 Slag loading - Silo had a leak, VE test will be rescheduled				
EU004 Truck loading - VE test will be rescheduled, no more trucks f				
Roads are paved accumulated dirt on some areas. Picking up dust who	en windy. No objectionable odors or	PM was obs	served	
leaving the property.				