- ROHM	NTAL PROTECTION	Sec.
dimen.	N	Sales.
FLO	RIDA	
3110	MDA	لله

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 0950012 DATE: 2/7/08 A FACILITY NAME: PREFERRED MATERIALS, WINTER FACILITY LOCATION: 201 HENNIS RD WINTER GARDEN 3278 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID CONTACT NAME: Ray Brendle ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/12/2007 / 12/12/2012 (effective date) (end date)	7			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
 Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), at controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emiss During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loadin unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operate to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)a) Was the batching operation in operation during the b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching duration? If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions test 	e visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Action form Submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes ∑No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2); 2) a relocatable (; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable (concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check I only one box.</i>)
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below.</i>)
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
calendar year?	Yes No
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	🖾 Yes 🗌 No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No

⊠ No □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
	emissions? Xes No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
	particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
) u	se of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- □Yes b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- □Yes □y

Tom Bessa

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

2/7/08

Date of Inspection

2/7/09

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: VE tests done on cement silo (#3), flyash silo (#1), and loadout/weighout dust collector. One silo (#2) for cement was on site but not in operation, and contained no product, according to supervisor Ray Brengle. Inlet tube on this silo is marked "Do Not Use." Cement silo #3 loaded 27.06 T at 10-12 psig; flyash silo #1 loaded 27.14 T at 10-12 psig. No other emissions sources seen, no new emissions producing equipment installed. No fugitive emissions, no odors observed.