

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	ERY (CI)			
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO):			
AIRS ID#: 0210049 DA	TE: <u>06/23/2008</u>	ARRIVE: 8:50 A.M.	DEPART: 10:15	A.M.		
FACILITY NAME: TH	E BEACHWOOD SOCIETY, IN	NC.				
FACILITY LOCATION	3107 DAVIS BOULEVA	ARD				
	NAPLES 33940					
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RICHARD ANDERSON PHONE: (239)775-4255					
CONTACT NAME:		PHON	E:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/17/2003 / 8/17/2008 (effective date) (end date)						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
	CCORDKEEPING REQUIREM	<u>VIENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F	.A.C.			
(check appropriat				☐ Yes ⊠ No		
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 	te box(es))	site visit according to EPA Me		☐ Yes ☐ No		
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demons days prior to the A 	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source compliar AGP Notification form submission	site visit according to EPA Monage of the control o	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ssions test conducted 60	⊠Yes □ No		
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401) In order to demons completed within 	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	site visit according to EPA Meanner, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter essions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ethod each anniversary date? ethod each anniversary date?			
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401) In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis, 	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	site visit according to EPA Mence, was an annual visible emison, and within 60 days prior to acce were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 y average basis and tested according to EPA Mence.	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter essions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? eble standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by ording to EPA Method	Yes □ No Yes □ No Yes □ No Yes □ No		
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401) In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pec) Particulate mat 	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	site visit according to EPA Mence, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 y average basis and tested according a (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F. qual to or below the requirements	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ssions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by ording to EPA Method A.C.)? nts of 0.080 grains per			
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401) In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pec) Particulate mat dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62-5. Was all emissions 	pjectionable odor(s) detected?ssions test conducted during this agent and possible odor(s) detected? strate individual source compliar agent and possible of the AGP Notification form submission (5)(i), F.A.C.)	site visit according to EPA Meanner, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to make were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 y average basis and tested according to 100 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F. qual to 07 below the requirement of 7% O ₂ and tested according to the operating at the manufacture	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ssions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by ording to EPA Method A.C.)? ats of 0.080 grains per to EPA Method 5 ers recommended	✓Yes ☐ No✓Yes ☐ No✓Yes ☐ No✓Yes ☐ No		
(check ☑ appropriat 1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.4010) 4. In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate mat dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62- 5. Was all emissions capacity? 6. Was CO & PM co	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source compliar AGP Notification form submission (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source compliar 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or be, corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hourly 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Meth tter emissions test with results equal to foot (ft ³) of flue gas, corrected to 297, F.A.C.)?	site visit according to EPA Meannee, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to accept the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 y average basis and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to the requirement of 100 y average basis and tested according to 100 y average basis and	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ssions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by ording to EPA Method A.C.)? nts of 0.080 grains per to EPA Method 5 ers recommended entical crematory unit?	Yes □ No		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	ombustion zone in
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the records the records the following the records the	
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	cording of such
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠vas □ Na
2) Monitoring device	⊠Yes ☐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
	Yes ∐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes ☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	Yes No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F ?	☐Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	□Yes □ No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tin	10
@ 1800° F?	Yes ☐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600 °F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber?	
	⊠Yes ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes ☐ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	y
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes ∐ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	∐Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes □ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes □ No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	<u>tES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.
	
1. Since the last inspection has there been	
a) installation of any new process equipment?b) alterations to existing process equipment with	
c) replacement of existing equipment substantiall recent notification form?	lly different than that noted on the most
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did	
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62	2-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or
local program office?	
If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent was required, have all operators been retrained to of	
3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a	
required, has the owner submitted copies of all ope	erator training certificates?
a) submitted within the 15 day required window f	following the training?
ROBERT J. STEWART	06/23/2008
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	06/2009
1,00,	
Robert J. Stewart	
)	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
COMMENTS: Visible Emissions Test preformed. Passed,	, no emissions noted for 1 hour test.