

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
AIRS ID#: 0210042 DATE: <u>8/28/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:00 a.m.</u> DEPART	': <u>9:30 p.m.</u>						
FACILITY NAME: DC KERCKHOFF COMPANY							
FACILITY LOCATION: 1901 ELSA ST							
NAPLES 34109-6219							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DANIEL KERCKHOFF* PHONE: (239)597-72 Email: danmk@kerckhoffstone.com Mobile: CONTACT NAME: DANIEL KERCKHOFF* PHONE: (239)597-72 Email: danmk@kerckhoffstone.com Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/9/2012 / 7/9/2017 (effective date) (end date)							
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DANIEL KERCKHOFF*?	⊠ Yes □No						
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still DANIEL KERCKHOFF*? If no, who is?:	- ☐ Yes ☐No ☐No						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?							

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo (cement) East w/baghouse, ID 27026-17 subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 COD Figure 500 (centert) Past w/bugilouse, 1D 2/020 1/ Subject to Reasonable 11			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check d only box for each ques	y one tion)	
1. Date of last inspection: 6/26/13 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?		No No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check only box for each ques	y one tion)	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:			
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- ⊠ Yes □	No No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		No No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes 🗌	No	
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		No No	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 or for each q			
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- X Yes - X Yes	No No No		
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		NoNoNoNoNoNo		
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation of the second secon	MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00? 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr			
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumers for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption 	☐ No		
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ✓ only one box for each question)					
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No		
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	X Yes	☐ No		
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No		
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No		

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of be concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants		•
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement a	nd	
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below		∐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business		☐ No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five by	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	□ No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Not	ification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least	five business days prior to relocation? Yes	☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separa	ate air construction or air operation permit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions	unit in that separate permit:	5
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine If YES, what was the purpose?	purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes	⊠ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how lor		
co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration	? \(\) Yes	∐ No
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check 🗹	only one
Administrative Changes:	box for each	•
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number	of the facility or authorized representative not	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloca		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor a 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d		⊠ No □ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	ays of the change: [1cs	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		N
a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement.	ent? Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is s	ubstantially different? Yes	⊠ No
d. A change in ownership?	Yes	⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new regist	ration form and the appropriate fee submitted	
30 days prior to the change?		☐ No
ROBERT J. STEWART		
& DIANE LOUGHLIN	8/28/13	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Impector of tume (Ficuse Film)	•	
	8/15	
Robert J. Stewart		

COMMENTS: Re-test done on EU-01 due to the loading rate for the silo on the last VE test being below the required 25 tons/hr. The loading rate for the silo on the VE test conducted today was 35.67 tons/hr, pumping 26.76 tons of cement into the silo in 45 minutes. No other problems were noted at the facility at the time of the test.