	WHENTAL PROTECTION
Mar	an 1 Cana
DENUTIN	
1	

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, II RE-INSPECTION (
AIRS ID#: 0210039 DATE: <u>11/14/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8 a.m.</u>	DEPART: <u>9:45a.m.</u>	
FACILITY NAME: COLLIER COUNTY D	OMESTIC ANIMAL SVCS		
FACILITY LOCATION: 7610 DAVIS	BLVD		
NAPLES 3	4104-5311		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTAT			
Email: CONTACT NAME: AMANDA TOWNSE		NE: (239)252-7387	
Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/26/2010 / (effective date)	Mobil 8/26/2015 (end date)	le:	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY ME	ETING	(check 🗹 only one	
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):		box for each question)	
Brief Notes:			
 Is the Authorized Representative still AMA If no, who is?: 	NDA TOWNSEND?	YesNo	
If different, did the facility provide an admi 3. Is the facility contact still AMANDA TOW If no, who is?:			
 Will facility be conducting VE test(s) durin If yes, was the compliance authority notifie 	g today's inspection? d at least 15 days in advance?	XesNo YesNo	

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 – Animal Crematory-prim/2ndarychmbrs,LPgas,tempm&r,opac.monitr</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		only one	
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or		box for each question)	
after August 30, 1989?	Xes	No	
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the			
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	🛛 Yes	No	
2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: 400 🛛 lbs for batch unit 🗌 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.			
3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	🗌 Yes	🖾No	
4. Date of last inspection: $\frac{7/19/11}{1}$			
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:			
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		No	
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	🛛 Yes	No	
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A	☐ Yes	□No	
d. Date of last VE test: 7/19/11			
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Xes	No	
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	Ves	🖾No	
If no, what was the problem (if known)?			

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	\boxtimes Yes \boxtimes Yes	∟No □No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Xes Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		_
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test? $\underline{175} \boxtimes$ lbs for batch unit \square lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	🛛 Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	Xes Yes	No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	DNo
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?		
	Yes	⊠No
If yes, what reason?		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ box for each	(check \square only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	🗌 Yes	🖾No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 ((worst)	
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at ∑ 1,800¹ □ 1,600² degrees was determined? (Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89) 	e	□No □No	
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements		DNo	
 (2) An continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurement monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	No No No No No	
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) - (3)	🗌 Yes atically	□No ⊠No	
 control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	city 🗌 Yes	□No □No □No	
	(check 🗹	only one	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each		
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cre process begins in the primary chamber? 	mation	□No □No	
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Xes mation	□No	
	(check 🗹	only one	
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each	· · · · ·	
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		⊠No	
 Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		⊠No □No	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□No □No ⊠No □No □No	
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)			
□ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	s or Yes	⊠No ⊠No
 If yes, did the factify provide written nonnearbin within 50 days of the change?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	 ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No

Sherrill Culiver

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

11/14/11

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: