

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS. RE-INSPECTION (FU	_	· · · <del></del>	
AIRS ID#: 0210026 DATE: <u>12/29/10</u>	ARRIVE: <u>09:00</u>	DEPART: <u>13:45</u>	
FACILITY NAME: EAST TRAIL R/M FACIL	JTY		
FACILITY LOCATION: 15555 US 41			
		<b>NE:</b> (813)269-1240	
	Facility Section		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STA		CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEET  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Greg Jef  Brief Notes:		(check ☑ only o box for each question	
Is the Authorized Representative still JASON If no, who is?:	JONES?	\(\sum \text{Yes}  \subseteq\)	То
If different, did the facility provide an adminis  3. Is the facility contact still JASON JONES? If no, who is?: and Greg Jeffries Plant Mana			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during to If yes, was the compliance authority notified a	oday's inspection?		

## Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo (flyash) Plant #2 w/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION  1. Date of last inspection: 12/08/09 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	•
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	<ul><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li></ul>	□ No □ No
operation?	☐ Yes	☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A  i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No ⊠ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
DADT H. CTACK EMISSIONS from a sile switch beautout before		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 ** 6 for the highest six-minute average.</li> </ul>	Yes	☐ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	□ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?  f. What was the silo loading rate? w/ consult tons/hour		pection.  No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.11 - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.11 - g.3$ ) and go to		⊠ No
Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?      During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	te and	☐ No
<ul><li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.</li><li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which</li></ul>	ites n is separate	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.	? Yes	⊠ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		☐ No ☐ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes	☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 5 -CCB Plant-silo (flyash)Plant #2A w/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 12/08/09 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	(check 🗹 box for each  Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	only one question)  No No No No No No
	whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☑ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes	□ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes Yes	☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	- Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth.</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.</li> </ul>	n is separate ector	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut		⊠ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? 19 tons/hour.	⊠ Yes	□ No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check	only one
			ch question)
1	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:		
	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?		⊠ No
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		⊠ No
	e 100 tons per year of more of any other regulated an portutant:	103	
2.	Does this facility include:		
	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	of	
	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or		
	Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	- TYes	⊠ No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene		N
	permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	∐ Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		
3	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
٥.	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes	⊠ No
	b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?		⊠ No
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		⊠ No
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?		⊠ No
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		=
	e. Of an equivalent prorated amount it multiple fuels are used offsite (use equation below)?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop	ane/vr < 1	.00?
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar		
		,	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum	ıption	
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	- Yes	⊠ No
			-
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(chock [	only one
			ch question)
		DOX TOT CAN	en question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed		
	the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
	devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛛 Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces	S	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		
	permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	stationary and relocatable	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary \( \subseteq \); relocatable \( \subseteq \); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \( \subseteq \) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i> )			
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		- Yes	□ No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification.	ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	)]	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	• •		☐ No
<ul><li>3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose?</li><li>b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it</li></ul>	in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage		□ No
co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES		(check <b>v</b> box for each	•
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or			
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?			
a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substituted. A change in ownership?	tantially different?		⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	on form and the appropriate fee sub	_	□ No
Wayne Lewis	12/29/10		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	12/29/11		
Inspector's Signature			
inspector's dignature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection	

rate for EU 5 - possible re-test when they test EU 3 in January - Cemex low on Flyash