	WEITUL PROTECTION	
NG.BI	1 Car	
E FI	ORIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)       ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0210007 DATE: <u>7/19/11</u> ARRIVE: <u>7:30 a.m</u> DEPART:	<u>8:45 a.m.</u>		
FACILITY NAME: SHIRLEY STREET READY MIX PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 6300 SHIRLEY ST			
NAPLES 34109			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DARRYL FALES PHONE: (888)992-14	00		
Email:Mobile:CONTACT NAME:JOHN RAUSCHENBACHPHONE:(239)992-14Email:jrauschenbach@preferredmaterials.comMobile:(239)913-85ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:7/14/2011 / 7/14/2016 (effective date)(end date)(end date)			
Facility Section         PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	LIANCE		
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING         1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):         Brief Notes:	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)		
<ol> <li>Is the Authorized Representative still DARRYL FALES?</li> <li>If no, who is?:</li> </ol>	XesNo		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?	Yes □No Xes □No		
<ol> <li>Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?</li> <li>If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?</li> </ol>			

## **Emissions Unit Section**

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u> 1. Date of last inspection: <u>11/16/10</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ul> <li>2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li> <li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li> <li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing</li> </ul>		□ No □ No
<ul> <li>d. Date of last VE test: 11/16/10</li> </ul>	Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li> <li>f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?</li> <li>g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>30</u> tons/hour</li> </ul>		□ No □ No
<ul> <li>h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A</li> <li>i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?</li> <li>j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
<ul> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?</li> <li>If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	2 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>		🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo	conducted at a r	ate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\bigotimes$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A – silo not l	oaded during ins	
<ul><li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li><li>f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour</li></ul>		□ No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go	to h	□ No
<ol> <li>Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching duration?</li> </ol>		□ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? m	inutes	🗌 No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? minutes and the batching duration?	on? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	□ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u>% for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
d. What was the process rate? $25$ tons/hour.		

## Facility Section (continued)

C	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(ah a ah 🔽	
		(check ☑ box for each d	
		box for each v	question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:		
	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		No No
	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?		🛛 No 🕅 No
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air ponutant?	les	
2.	Does this facility include:		
	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	of	
	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or		
	Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	- 🗌 Yes	🖂 No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
	a kan a sa s	1	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit analysis of one another at the same facility?		🖂 No
	permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	Yes	
	If TES, what other general permit units of activities?		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		🔯 No
	b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?		🛛 No
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		No No
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?		No No
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes	🛛 No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop	ane/vr < 1.00	2
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propa		•
		<b>j</b> -	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consur		
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
<ol> <li>Does the owner or operator:</li> <li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li> </ol>		No No
<ul><li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li></ul>		🛛 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🛛 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:         1. Is the facility: stationary [X]; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable []         concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following stationary)	(check 🗹 box for each <i>ng question 2.</i> )	question)
<ul> <li>2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ul>	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]</li> </ul>		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No
<ul> <li>3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:</li> <li>a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?</li> </ul>		🛛 No
<ul> <li>b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?</li> <li>If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?</li> </ul>	Yes   Yes	⊠ No □ No
CHANGES	(check 🗹	only one
Administrative Changes:	box for each	•
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol>	nits or 🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No

INC	w of Modified Process Equipment of Change in Ownership.	
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
	a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes	🛛 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes	🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
	d. A change in ownership? Yes	🖂 No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	
	30 days prior to the change? Yes	🗌 No

Sherrill Culliver

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

7/19/11

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** The facility was supposed to test the flyash silo through the central dust collector. Last year the facility tested cement silo. At my arrival, the flyash tanker was unloading. The facility manager stopped the tankers from unloading the remaining amount of flyash in the tanker. We estimated 1 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub> pods remained to unload - approximately 10 tons. The 10 tons of flyash was tested within 24 minutes with 0 percent opacity