

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INSTRE-INSPECTION)		ISCOVERY (CI) AINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0210003 DATE: 11/4/11	ARRIVE: <u>12:00</u>	DEPART: <u>2:30</u>					
FACILITY NAME: WIGGINS PASS FA	ACILITY						
FACILITY LOCATION: 1425 WIG	GGINS PASS RD						
NAPLES	34110-6301						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENT. Email: CONTACT NAME: JASON JONES Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/3/2009 (effective date)	/ 5/3/2014	PHONE: (813)269-1240 Mobile: PHONE: (813)269-1240 Mobile:					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
DADT II. ONGTE INTRODUCTORY A	MEETING						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY M 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	<u> </u>	(check ✓ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JA If no, who is?:	ASON JONES?						
If different, did the facility provide an ad 3. Is the facility contact still JASON JONE If no, who is?:	dministrative update within 30 days?	Yes					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) du If yes, was the compliance authority not							

Emissions Unit Section 2 -CCB Plant-South - Cement Bin & Dust Collector(Block Plant) subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
1. Date of last inspection: $\frac{10/24/11}{2}$		4
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	⊠ v	□ Na
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		∐ No ⊠ No
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- les	⊠ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
d. Date of last VE test: <u>12/10/09</u>		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?		□ No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	X Yes	∐ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hourh. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?		□ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	1 es	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	- Tyes	□ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
•		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	\ Yes	□ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	<u> </u>	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	TYes	☐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not lo		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	L Yes	∐ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	□ Vac	□ No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go		∐ No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		☐ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching		
duration?		☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? mi	nutes	_
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector whi		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust co		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration		☐ No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min		
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		□ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	∐ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? 	\(\text{Yes}	☐ No
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	1Cs	
tollo, ilouit		

Emissions Unit Section 3 -North - Cement Bin & Dust Collector - (at Block Plant) subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
1. Date of last inspection: 7/22/09	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	□ 3 7	⊠ M.
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		⊠ No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	- Yes	⊠ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	☐ Yes	☐ No
d. Date of last VE test: <u>7/22/09</u>		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?		☐ No ☐ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	- Yes	☐ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?—	· L Yes	∐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check ☑	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	•
	0011 101 04011	question
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- X Yes	□ No
		
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- X Yes	∐ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? 	X Yes	☐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?	🖂 1 es	
In not, while the process (in mile why)		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo c		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loading		pection.
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go to		△ No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		☐ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching r		_
duration?		☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust co		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration		⊠ No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu		
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- Xes	☐ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		□ M.
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	X Yes	☐ No
d. What was the process rate:tons/nour.		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check	only one	
			ch question)	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No	
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No	
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	NoNoNoNoNoNo	
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal properties (23,000 gal gasoline/yr + 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 1.3 MM gal properties the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumfor each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr	.00? ⊠ No	
	r J			
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No	
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- Yes	⊠ No	
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- Yes	⊠ No	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		⊠ No	

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>	box for each of question 2.)	juestion)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	□ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] 	Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	- Yes	☐ No
 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation perm and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage) If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	? 🗌 Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes	□ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check 🗹 o	
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	s or Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?	Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee subn 30 days prior to the change?	nitted Yes	⊠ No
		'
Sherrill Culliver 11/4/11		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection	

COMMENTS: The VE test on EU 2 was conducted in a bad sun angle. The sky was overcast. No emissions were observed during the test. The District will accept the test since we witness the test. Several emission units are shutdown or eliminated. the batch plant is still standing but shutdown. The paver plant has been dismantled.