	WHENTAL PROTECTION
a.	Man Doctor
E Series	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) [RE-INSPECTION (FUI) [COMPLAINT/DISCC			
AIRS ID#: 0210003 DATE: <u>12/08/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>09:30</u>	DEPART: <u>11:30</u>		
FACILITY NAME: WIGGINS PASS FACILITY				
FACILITY LOCATION: 1425 WIGGINS PAS	SS RD			
NAPLES 34110-63	301			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: J	ASON JONES PHO	DNE: (813)269-1240		
CONTACT NAME:	РНО	DNE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/3/2009 / 5/3/201 (effective date) (end date				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
 PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIN</u> (check appropriate box(es)) <u>Stack Emissions</u> Were visible emissions tests conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batch controlled to the extent necessary to limit visib During visible emissions tests of the silo dust of at a rate that is representative of the normal sild unless such rate is unachievable in practice?4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question a) Was the batching operation in operation during b) During the visible emissions test, was the b duration? If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) of from the silo dust collector, are the visible emistion at a rate that is represented to the silo dust collector, are the visible emission at a rate that is represented to the silo dust collector, are that is represented to the silo dust collector, and the test of the silo dust collector. 	this site visit according to EPA hers), and other enclosed storage ble emissions to 5 percent opaci- collector exhaust points was the o loading rate, or at least at the o poperation controlled by the si- uestions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If 5.)	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter \vee Yes □ No ge and conveying equipment ty? □Yes ⊠ No e loading of the silo conducted minimum 25 tons per hour rate, \vee Yes □ No lo dust collector? (If answer f answer is "No" then Yes □ No he normal batching rate and Yes □ No		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) ∑Yes ∑Yes
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity (Xest Complexity)
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)

2.	 If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>), <i>below</i>.)	ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? XYes No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

b)	alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	∐Yes	🔀 No
c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
	recent notification form?	Yes	🛛 No
d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
	notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
	local program office?	Yes	🗌 No

Wayne Lewis

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

12/08/09

Date of Inspection

12/10/09

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Emissions from the pop-off. Facility reported a problem with the gasket. Max. 6 minute average is 11.0.