

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/E ARMS COMPL		Y (CI)		
ΑI	AIRS ID#: 0150047 DATE: 11/19/10 ARRIVE: 07:15 DEPART: 08:45						
FA	ACILITY NAME: PLA	ACIDA LINWOOD READY	MIX PLANT				
FA	CILITY LOCATION	4500 LINWOOD RD					
		PLACIDA 33946					
CO	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: HANK BELCHER Email: hbelcher@preferredmaterials.com CONTACT NAME: JOHN RAUSCHENBACH Email: jrauschenbach@preferredmaterials.com Email: jrauschenbach@preferredmaterials.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/13/2010 / 9/13/2015 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (813)384-3025 Mobile: (352)279-0404 PHONE: (239)992-1400 Mobile: (239)913-8514						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
		resentative(s): Bill Chindamo	<u>0</u>			(check 🗹 ox for each	*
2.	Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?:	resentative still HANK BELC	HER?		[Yes	□No
3.		ility provide an administrative till JOHN RAUSCHENBACH				Yes Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conduc	eting VE test(s) during today's ance authority notified at least				Yes Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-splitsilo(cement/flyash-60/40)w/grndmtdb-house subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 11/08/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	(check box for each Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	only one question) No No No No No No No
	whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☑ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No □ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A – silo not loader. e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	
	f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>32.9</u> tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	h	─ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching ra	te and	
	duration? 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minute. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	ites n is separate	⊠ No
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut	? Yes	☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? 32.9 tons/hour. 	⊠ Yes	□ No

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		only one ch question)		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	NoNoNoNo		
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No		
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM g	e/yr	.00?		
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No		
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)					
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No		
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	Yes	⊠ No		
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	☐ No		
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	- 🕅 Ves	□ No		

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one				
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>)	box for each of question 2.)	question)		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	Yes	□ No		
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] 		☐ No		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	Yes	□ No		
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? -	Yes	☐ No		
 If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation perr and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage). If YES, what was the purpose? Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?)?	□ No		
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	- U Yes	∐ No		
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check 🗹			
Administrative Changes:	box for each o	question)		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions uni operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	ts or Yes	⊠ No □ No		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No		
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?	- 🗌 Yes	⊠ No □ No		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee subration 30 days prior to the change?	mitted - X Yes	☐ No		
Wayne Lewis 11/19/10				
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection				
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection			

COMMENTS: This facility is still temp. shut-down. They loaded due to the Change of ownership They have a split silo Noth side be for flyash and ssouth is Cement. Facility will test North side next