

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<b>INSPECTION TYPE:</b>	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0150046 DA	ATE: <u>02/12/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>09:30</u>	DEPART: <u>10:50</u>		
FACILITY NAME: H	UMAN/ANIMAL CREMATOR	RY-PUNTA GORDA			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 5500 WILLIAMSBUR	RG DR			
	PUNTA GORDA 33	3951			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	ED REPRESENTATIVE: CH	HARLES WATTS PHO	<b>ONE:</b> (941)575-2755		
CONTACT NAME:		РНО	ONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/27/2008 / 4/27/2013 (effective date) (end date)					
IN COMPLIAN	N COMPLIANCE STATUS ( NCE MINOR Non-COM		CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	È	
DADTH, TECTING (D)	ECODD/JEDING DEOLUDI	EMENTS DL. (2.20/401	EAC		
PART II: TESTING/RI	ECORDKEEPING REQUIRI	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.401,	, F.A.C.		
(check <b>☑</b> appropria 1. Were there any o	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected?			☐ Yes ⊠ No	
<ol> <li>(check  appropria</li> <li>Were there any o</li> <li>Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> </ol>	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during th	is site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ⊠ No ⊠Yes ☐ No	
<ol> <li>(check  appropria</li> <li>Were there any o</li> <li>Was a visible em         62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demondays prior to the</li> </ol>	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the constrate individual source compliation form submiss	is site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date?	Yes ☐ No	
<ol> <li>(check  appropria</li> <li>Were there any o</li> <li>Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40)</li> <li>In order to demondation</li> </ol>	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the metate individual source compli AGP Notification form submiss 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) instrate individual source compli	is site visit according to EPA innee, was an annual visible ersion, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? cicable standards testing	<ul><li>Yes □ No</li><li>Yes □ No</li></ul>	
<ol> <li>(check  appropria</li> <li>Were there any o</li> <li>Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40).</li> <li>In order to demondent of the completed within a) Carbon Monor</li> </ol>	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the instrate individual source complication form submiss 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) instrate individual source complication form submiss 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) instrate individual source complication for days prior to the AGP Notice (CO) emissions equal to on	is site visit according to EPA ince, was an annual visible ersion, and within 60 days prior ince were the remaining application form submission? (Rer below the requirements of 10	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? ciable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) oparts per million by	Yes ☐ No	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropria</li> <li>Were there any o</li> <li>Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40).</li> <li>In order to demondent of the completed within a) Carbon Monovolume, dry basis</li> <li>(Ref.: Chapter</li> </ol>	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the instrate individual source complication form submiss 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) instrate individual source complication form submiss 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) instrate individual source complication for days prior to the AGP Notification for the AGP Notification	is site visit according to EPA in ance, was an annual visible ersion, and within 60 days prior lance were the remaining appliation form submission? (Rur below the requirements of 10 arrly average basis and tested according to the site of the site	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? icable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method	<ul><li>Yes □ No</li><li>Yes □ No</li><li>□Yes □ No</li><li>□Yes □ No</li></ul>	
<ol> <li>(check  appropria</li> <li>Were there any o</li> <li>Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40)</li> <li>In order to demondement of the demondation of the demondation of the completed within a) Carbon Monodement of the complete of the c</li></ol>	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the constrate individual source complication form submiss 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) instrate individual source complication form submiss 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) instrate individual source complication for days prior to the AGP Notication (CO) emissions equal to one of the constraint of the cons	is site visit according to EPA dance, was an annual visible ersion, and within 60 days prior dance were the remaining application form submission? (Rurly average basis and tested accepted 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, equal to or below the requirem	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? missions test conducted for each anniversary date.	<ul><li>Yes □ No</li><li>Yes □ No</li><li>□Yes □ No</li><li>□Yes □ No</li></ul>	
<ol> <li>(check  appropria</li> <li>Were there any o</li> <li>Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40)</li> <li>In order to demondays prior to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40)</li> <li>In order to demonday order to demonde to demonde to demonde to demonday order to demonde to demonday order to demonde to demo</li></ol>	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the matrate individual source compliants AGP Notification form submiss 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) instrate individual source compliants of days prior to the AGP Notification forms equal to one of 62-297, F.A.C.)? performed according to EPA Meatter emissions test with results of ic foot (ft³) of flue gas, corrected 2-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA innce, was an annual visible ersion, and within 60 days prior innce were the remaining applification form submission? (Rurly average basis and tested acethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, equal to 0r below the requirement to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? dicable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Oparts per million by ccording to EPA Method F.A.C.)?	<ul> <li>Yes  No</li> <li>Yes  No</li> <li>Yes  No</li> <li>Yes  No</li> <li>Yes  No</li> <li>Yes  No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	
(check ☑ appropria  1. Were there any o  2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)?  3. In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40).  4. In order to demondem completed withina) Carbon Monovolume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test perior or the particulate material dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62).  5. Was all emission capacity?	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the mattrate individual source compliants AGP Notification form submiss 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) instrate individual source compliants of days prior to the AGP Notification form submiss oxide (CO) emissions equal to one or 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA innee, was an annual visible ersion, and within 60 days prior innee were the remaining application form submission? (Rurly average basis and tested according to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according arce operating at the manufactures.)	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? miscable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method miscaple for the property of the parts of 0.080 grains per ming to EPA Method 5 miscaple for the property of the p		
(check ☑ appropria  1. Were there any o  2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)?  3. In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40)  4. In order to demondent of the demonden	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the instrate individual source complication form submiss 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) instrate individual source complication form submiss 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) instrate individual source complication 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submiss oxide (CO) emissions equal to one in 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA is ance, was an annual visible ersion, and within 60 days prior lance were the remaining appliation form submission? (Rurly average basis and tested accepted 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, equal to or below the requirement of 10 to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according a tree operating at the manufacture.	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? icable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method F.A.C.)? nents of 0.080 grains per ug to EPA Method 5 urers recommended identical crematory unit? 1 compliance test?	Yes       No         Yes       No	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record t primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	mbustion zone in
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the recomeasurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes □ No
2) Monitoring device	Yes No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	☐Yes ☐ No
6) Adjustments	∐Yes ∐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	☐Yes ☐ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one <b>☑</b> box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4) b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ <b>1600°F</b> ?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1400°F</b>	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	□Yes □ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	□x□ x.
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	☐Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	∐Yes ∐ No
4. If constructed <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes □ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematio	n
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	⊠Yes ☐ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes □ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the dur	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes □ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.			
<ol> <li>Since the last inspection has there been         <ul> <li>installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>alterations to existing process equipment with</li> <li>replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form?</li> <li>If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	out replacement?  ly different than that noted on the most			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?				
Wayne Lewis	02/12/09			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	02/12/10			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: New Animal Crematory in use has not bee	en tested			