

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCO ARMS COMPLAINT	`	
A IDC ID# 0170021 D	ATTE: 02/25/00	A DDIVE 10.00	DED A D.T. 12.40	
AIRS ID#: 0150031 DA	ATE: <u>03/27/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:00</u>	DEPART: <u>12:40</u>	
FACILITY NAME: R	OBERSON FUNERAL HOME	E-PORT CHARLOTTE		
FACILITY LOCATIO	N: 2151 TAMIAMI TRA	AIL		
	PORT CHARLOTTE	E 33948		
OWNER/AUTHORIZ	ED REPRESENTATIVE: K	ENNETH ROBERSON PHO	ONE: (941)629-3141	
CONTACT NAME:		PHO	ONE:	
ENTITLEMENT PER	IOD: 12/8/2007 / 12/8/20 (effective date) (end date			
DADT I. INSDECTIO	N COMPLIANCE STATUS			,
	JCE MINOR Non CO	MDI IANCE CICNIER	CANT Non COMDITANCE	
IN COMPLIAN	NCE MINOR Non-CO	MPLIANCE SIGNIFI	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	,
☐ IN COMPLIAN	_			
IN COMPLIAN	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR			
IN COMPLIAN PART II: TESTING/R (check ☑ appropri	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR ate box(es))	REMENTS – Rule 62-296.401	, F.A.C.	
PART II: TESTING/R (check appropri 1. Were there any c 2. Was a visible em	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the	REMENTS – Rule 62-296.401 his site visit according to EPA	, F.A.C. Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ⊠ No
PART II: TESTING/R (check appropri 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.) 3. In order to demo	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during to reconstructions and the conducted during to reconstructions.	his site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60	
PART II: TESTING/R (check appropri 1. Were there any c 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.) 3. In order to demo days prior to the (Rule 62-296.40	ecorphics ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the conducted in the conducted during the conducted in the conducted during the conducted in the conducted during the conducted dur	his site visit according to EPA-liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
PART II: TESTING/R (check ☑ appropri 1. Were there any of the control of the co	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the conducted individual source compositions and the conducted during the conducted individual source compositions and the conducted during the	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining apportification form submission? (Fig. 1)	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.)	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☑Yes ☐ No
PART II: TESTING/R (check appropri 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.) 3. In order to demo days prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to demo completed within a) Carbon Monovolume, dry basi	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? inissions test conducted during the second seco	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app stification form submission? (For below the requirements of 1 burly average basis and tested a	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method	☐ Yes ☒ No ☒Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☒ No
PART II: TESTING/R (check appropri 1. Were there any of the control of the contr	ecorpose process and the second secon	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining approfification form submission? (Ror below the requirements of 1 purly average basis and tested a	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method	☐ Yes ☐ No
PART II: TESTING/R (check appropri 1. Were there any completed within a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basin 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test properties of the particulate monor dry standard cub	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? hissions test conducted during the composition of the composi	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining apportification form submission? (For below the requirements of 1 burly average basis and tested a lethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 is equal to or below the requirement of 10 or below the 10 or b	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing cule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ng to EPA Method 5	☐ Yes ☐ No
PART II: TESTING/R (check appropri 1. Were there any of the control of the contr	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the second secon	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible e ssion, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app otification form submission? (R or below the requirements of 1 burly average basis and tested a lethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 s equal to or below the require ed to 7% O ₂ and tested according	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method F. F.A.C.)?	
PART II: TESTING/R (check ☑ appropri 1. Were there any of the control of the co	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the second secon	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible e ssion, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app stification form submission? (R or below the requirements of 1 surly average basis and tested a lethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 s equal to or below the require ed to 7% O ₂ and tested according	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? dicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method for the EPA Method 5 decrease of 0.080 grains per mig to EPA Method 6 decrease of 0.080 grains per mig to EPA Method 6 decrease of 0.080 grains per mig to EPA Method 6 decrease of 0.080 grains per mig to EPA Method 6 decrease of 0.080 grains per mig	☐ Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber contains the secondary chamber c	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	8
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	□Yes □ No
2) Monitoring device	
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	⊠Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠Yes □ No
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence ting	
@ 1800° F?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes ☐ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	DV. DN
this location?	☐Yes ☐ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	∑Yes ☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes □ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDULA. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.			
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?				
Wayne Lewis	3/27/09			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	3/27/10			
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS:				