

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)
AIRS ID#: 0150006 DATE: <u>8/17/11</u> ARRIVE: <u>8 a.m.</u>	DEPART: <u>9:10 a.m.</u>
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK INDUSTRIES, INC.	
FACILITY LOCATION: 2901 S. COOPER ST	
PUNTA GORDA	
Email: HUGHP@FLAROCK.COM Mobile:	904)355-1781 941)351-9611
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT N	Jon-COMPLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	box for each question)
2. Is the Authorized Representative still HUGH PERRY? If no, who is?:	YesNo
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still MIKE NEES? If no, who is?:	YesNo YesNo
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	

Emissions Unit Section 1 -West Silo - South Compartment Baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one
1. Date of last inspection: 8/18/10	oox for each	question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	- -	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		∐ No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	- Xes	∐ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 8/18/10	Yes	☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 35.68/2 tons/hour		☐ No ☐ No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	☐ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑	only one
cherosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- 🛛 Yes	☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- X Yes	☐ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	- X Yes	☐ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo c		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? X Yes No N/A – silo not loa		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	🗵 Yes	∐ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to	o h.	_
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching r 		☐ No
duration?	Yes	☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust co- conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration	n? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2) What was the batching rate?tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu		□ M.
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		∐ No □ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	LJ 105	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	- X Yes	☐ No
d. What was the process rate? 28.62 tons/hour.		

Emissions Unit Section 2 –East Silo Baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	,		nly one
1. Date of last inspection: $8/18/10$	DOX	for each que	28(1011)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:			_
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes _	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		Yes _	」 No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?d. Date of last VE test: 8/18/10	⊠ N/A] Yes	No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days af f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 35.06 tons/hour			No No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the re whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 	N/A □	Yes [Yes [No No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the If not, what was the problem (if known)?	ne last VE test?	Yes [No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(0	heck 🗹 on	alw one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	,	for each que	nly one
		. r	-
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this si	te visit? 🖂] Yes] No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	×	Yes	No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute a		105] 110
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?		Yes	No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the load			.•
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \(\sum \) Yes \(\sum \) No \(\sum \) Is			_
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practicf. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	e: 🔼	i res _	」 No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo du <i>If YES</i> , then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1$] Yes	No No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test. 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the n	·	Yes [No
duration? 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration.	[No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a du		enarate	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration	rate and duration?		No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this	site visit? 🖂	Yes	No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes [No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?] Yes	No
d. What was the process rate? 35.3 tons/hour.			

Emissions Unit Section 3 -West Silo - North Compartment Baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 8/18/10	(check only one box for each question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	
operation?	Yes No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? - f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 29.21 tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE tends If not, what was the problem (if known)?	st? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	X Yes No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the si that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A − silo no	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and $g.1$) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batchi duration?	Yes No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector.	which is separate
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? n	ntion? X Yes No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? 28.62 tons/hour. 	Yes No

Emissions Unit Section 4 -Weigh Hopper & Loadout Dust Collector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. D. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1		
1. Date of last inspection: 8/18/10		
Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	····· 🖂 ,	Yes No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		Yes No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		105 🔲 110
operation? [□ N/A □	Yes
d. Date of last VE test: 8/18/10		100
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after	the test?	Yes No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?		Yes 🖾 No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	_	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the repo	ort state	
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? [Yes 🛛 No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	× ,	Yes No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the	last VE test? 🔲 `	Yes No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		
enclosed storage and conveying equipment		
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site	visit? 🖂	Yes No
		_
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute ave		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	· 🛛 `	Yes
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. Duning visible emissions tests of the sile dust collector subsust naints was the leading	a of the oile conducto	d at a mata
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No No		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		105 🔲 110
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust	collector?	Yes
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$		105
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		Yes
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the norm		140
duration?		Yes No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duratio		_
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust	collector which is sep	arate
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (ba	tcher) dust collector	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rat	te and duration? $oxtimes$	Yes No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration	? <u>?</u> minutes	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this sit		Yes 💹 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minu	_	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	· [] `	Yes No
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check		only one
				question)
1	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:			
1.	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	☐ Ye	c	⊠ No
	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?			⊠ No
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?			⊠ No
	c 100 tons per year of more of any other regulated an portutant:		5	⊠ No
2	Does this facility include:			
2.	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	of		
	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or	OI.		
	Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	-	S	⊠ No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		5	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene			
	permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	∐ Ye	S	⊠ No
	If YES, what other general permit units or activities?			
3	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:			
٥.	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	☐ Ye	S	⊠ No
	b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?			⊠ No
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?			⊠ No
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?			⊠ No
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?			No No
	e. of all equivalent profuted amount it manapie racis are used on the (ase equation below).		5	23 110
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop	ane/yr <	1.00	?
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar			
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum			<u> </u>
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	- <u> </u>	S	⊠ No
_				
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check	$ \mathbf{V} $	only one
				question)
1	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed			
1.	the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?	Ye	c	⊠ No
2	Does the owner or operator:	<u> </u> 16	3	<u> </u>
ے.	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- X Ye	S	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		~	
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🛛 Ye	s	☐ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces	<u> </u>		
•	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	-		
	permit and Department rules?	X Ye	S	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stati	onary and relocatable	(check ☑ box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If on</i>		g question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		- Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Locee-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prices. b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	or to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business.	n Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6))]	 No No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air c and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in t a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose If YES, what was the purpose?	construction or air operation pern hat separate permit:	mit,	□ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?			□ No □ No
CHANCES			
<u>CHANGES</u>		(check ☑ box for each	
Administrative Changes:			
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administ	the facility or any emissions unit rative change at the facility?	its or - Yes	⊠ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administ 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of to New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	the facility or any emissions unitrative change at the facility?he change?	its or - Yes - Yes	⊠ No
 associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administ 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of t New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	the facility or any emissions univaries change at the facility? he change? ially different?	its or - Yes - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administ 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of to New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	the facility or any emissions unitrative change at the facility? he change? ially different? orm and the appropriate fee sub-	tits or - Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
 associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administ 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of t New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substanted. A change in ownership?	the facility or any emissions unitrative change at the facility? he change? ially different? orm and the appropriate fee sub-	tits or - Yes	No No No No No No No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administ 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of to New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	the facility or any emissions unitrative change at the facility? he change? ially different? form and the appropriate fee sub-	tits or - Yes	No No No No No No No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administ 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of to New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	the facility or any emissions universitive change at the facility?	its or -	No No No No No No No