

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

PECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1110051 DATE: <u>2/1/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>2:20</u> DEPAR	RT: <u>3:45</u>		
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-WEST FT PIERCE READY MIX & BLOCK			
FACILITY LOCATION: 6100 MIDWAY RD			
FORT PIERCE 34981-4305			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER* Email: CONTACT NAME: SCOTT MORGAN Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/22/2011 / 5/22/2016 (effective date) (end date)	-6700		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
	1		
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER*?	⊠ Yes □No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still SCOTT MORGAN? If no, who is?:			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?			

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Cement weigh hopper and concrete mixer truck loadout subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 1/28/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DADEN FIELD ODGDDYAFYONG D. L. (2.40/.414/2). E. A. G.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	*	only one
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by:	box for each of	question)
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	e following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –SINGLE-COMPARTMENT FLYASH/SLAG SILO subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 1/31/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	T Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑ box for each o	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		- ′
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unco emissions by:	onfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of		
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	to	∐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	Z 105	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment particulate matter from stock piles?	of X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 - CEMENT SILO COMPARTMENT NO. 1 subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		_
THE REVIEW PRIOR TO HADE ECTION	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
1. Data of last impraction, 1/21/12	🖂	
1. Date of last inspection: 1/31/12		□ N.
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?		∐ No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		∐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\Boxed N/A	Yes	☐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑	only one
	box for each	•
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	0011101 041011	question
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon	fined	
emissions by:		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	ne following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to		
control emissions?		□ No
	🖂 1 es	∐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	5 7	
particulate matter?		∐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	f	
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? -	X Yes	☐ No
	_	- -
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	□ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	103	
c. what caused the problem(s) (If known)?		

Emissions Unit Section <u>5 - CEMENT SILO COMPARTMENT NO.2</u> subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 1/31/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
	(check ✓ box for each of	only one question)
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		•
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by:	fined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?		☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	× Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment o particulate matter from stock piles?	f —	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? -	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY				
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	\boxtimes	Yes		No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception o units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes		No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes		No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes		No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane/ 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/		_ <u><</u> 1.00?	,	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	tion	Yes		No
_					
<u>G</u> I	ENERAL CONDITIONS				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control				
$ _{2}$	devices? Does the owner or operator:		Yes		No
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes		No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes		No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes		No

 RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ∑; relocatable ☐; or consisting of both st concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If 		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifical 	rior to changing location? Yes No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat	ss days following a relocation? Yes No	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five		
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate at and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit i a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	n that separate permit: ose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No vas Yes No	
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	of the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? Yes No	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————		
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registratio 30 days prior to the change?		
Patricia Tampas/ Scott Trainor	1/31/2013	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	1/31/2014	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: PT and ST: 2013 VE of EU 3,4 and 5 found no violations. The initial block plant is closed and partially disassembled to use at the newer plant. The newer block pland is temporarily inactive until demand picks up.