

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

July 7, 2011

SENT VIA E-MAIL Regular Mail Requested

James Brooks
Brooks Welding and Machine Shop
Brooks Concrete
1532 Coastal Highway
P.O. Box 82
Panacea, Florida 32346

Dear Mr. Brooks:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. Your facility permit **expires on June 5, 2013**. The facility identification number is **1290007**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. The inspection checklist is enclosed. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at (850) 245-2960 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanon

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Rick Bradburn, Mary Beth Curle, Carol Melton (FDEP, Pensacola).



#### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NT/DISCOVERY (CI)  MPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 1290007 DATE: 6/10/2011 ARRIVE:	DEPART:
FACILITY NAME: BROOKS CONCRETE	
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 1532 COASTAL HWY	
PANACEA 32346	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JAMES BROOKS Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/5/2008 / 6/5/2013 (effective date) (end date)	PHONE: (850)984-5279 Mobile: (850)251-6594 PHONE: Mobile:
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐	
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): James Brooks  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JAMES BROOKS?	
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 constants and administrative update within 30 constants. If no, who is?:	lays? YesNo YesNo
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	

## Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo #1 (cement) w/baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check only one
1. Date of last inspection: 3/24/2010	box for each question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?    d. Date of last VE test: 3/15/2011	/A Yes No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the to f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report sta whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?    i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	/A Yes No
<ul> <li>j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour</li> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last V</li> <li>If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	/E test? ⊠ Yes □ No
I not, what was the problem (if known).	
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(ahaalt 🔽 anly ana
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check <b>☑</b> only one box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	? ☐ Yes ☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute ave</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of	the silo conducted at a rate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A - sil	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	Yes No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	ctor? Yes No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collect <i>If YES</i> , then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ )	
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal b	
duration?	<u> </u>
<ul><li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?</li><li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collection.</li></ul>	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	l duration?  Yes  No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit	t?
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute avec.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> </ul>	
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	105 100

## Emissions Unit Section 2 – CCB Plant-silo #2 (flyash) w/baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check   box for each	only one
1. Date of last inspection: $3/24/2011$	0011 101 04011	question
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	N 10	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		∐ No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	- 🛚 Yes	∐ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 3/15/2011	X Yes	☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?		☐ No ☐ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 26.81 tons/hour  h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour</li> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?-</li> <li>If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	- Xes	☐ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	( ala 1	
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one
	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- Yes	⊠ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	- <u> </u>	L 140
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?  If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	☐ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo	conducted at a r	ate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not lo		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	Yes	☐ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	☐ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go t  1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		☐ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching		
duration?		☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? mir		<del></del>
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector whi		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust co		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration  2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes.		∐ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	☐ No
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.		

### Emissions Unit Section 3 -CCB Plant-truck load out w/baghouse & shroud subject to Reasonable Precautions

5 - CCB Flant-truck load out w/baghouse & shroud subject to Reasonable Free	autions	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 3/24/2010     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check <b>☑</b> box for each o	only one
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	box for each c	question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by:</li> </ol>	ined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		□ N.
paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?     application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?		∐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?		☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		es es es	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		es es	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		es es	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	es es es es es	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM		≤ 1.00°	?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		'es	⊠ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS			only one question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	□ Y	'es	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	_ - ⊠ Y	es	□ No
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		es	☐ No
J .	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		es.	□ No

RI	RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check	only one
1.	. Is the facility: stationary $\boxtimes$ ; relocatable $\square$ ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the</i>		• ,
	. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		☐ No
	<ul><li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by tele e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location</li><li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-</li></ul>	n? Yes	☐ No
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a reloc c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-2]	ation? Yes	☐ No
1	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to rel	location? Yes	☐ No
3.	. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air oper and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		
	a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeatif YES, what was the purpose?	nted usage)?  Yes	∐ No
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	□ No
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes	☐ No
	NTT   3 T O T O		
	CHANGES  Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	
Ac	Administrative Changes:  . Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized in	box for each representative not	
Ac	Administrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized a associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any em	box for each representative not issions units or	question)
<u>Ac</u> 1.	Administrative Changes:  . Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized in	box for each representative not issions units or iscility? Yes	
<u>Ac</u> 1. 2. <u>Ne</u>	Administrative Changes:  . Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Fyes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each representative not issions units or iscility? Yes	question)
1. 2. Ne	Administrative Changes:  . Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized a associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Fyeld of the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ————————————————————————————————————	box for each representative not issions units or iscility? Yes Yes	question)  No No
1. 2. Ne	Administrative Changes:  . Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized a associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Fyeld of the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each representative not issions units or iscility? Yes Yes	question)  No No No
1. 2. Ne	Administrative Changes:  . Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized a associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each representative not issions units or iscility? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)   No   No   No
1. 2. Ne	Administrative Changes:  . Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized a associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each representative not issions units or iscility? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)   No   No   No   No   No   No   No   No
Ac 1. 2. <u>Ne</u> 3.	Administrative Changes:  . Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized a associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each representative not issions units or issions	question)     No
Ac 1. 2. <u>Ne</u> 3.	Administrative Changes:  . Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  . Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————	box for each representative not issions units or issions	question)   No
2. Ne 3.	Administrative Changes:  . Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  . Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————	box for each representative not issions units or issions	question)   No
2. Ne 3.	Administrative Changes:  . Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized a associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility FYES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each representative not issions units or issions	question)   No
2. Ne 3.	Administrative Changes:  . Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized a associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any em operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each representative not issions units or issions	question)   No

**COMMENTS:** I met with James Brooks. The equipment was not in operation during the site inspection. No changes to equipment were noted. The last compliance test was performed on 3/15/2011.