

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 630-3 Capital Circle NE Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole Secretary

March 29, 2010

SENT VIA E-MAIL Regular Mail Requested

James Brooks Brooks Welding and Machine Shop Brooks Concrete 1532 Coastal Highway P.O. Box 82 Panacea, Florida 32346

Dear Mr. Brooks:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. Your facility permit <u>expires on June 5, 2013</u>. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **1290007**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

Based on the facility inspection results, the Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of <u>In-Compliance</u> for your facility.

Note that your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection checklist and its comments section are enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at (850) 488-3704 or <a href="mailto:tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us">tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos

Marlane Castellanos

Branch Manager

MC/tw

Enclosures

cc:

Rick Bradburn, Mary Beth Curle, Erica Mitchell (FDEP, Pensacola).



## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RT II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?
<ul> <li>2. Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?</li> </ul>
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?
RT III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es))
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☒ only one box.)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
(check is appropriate box(cs))			
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)			
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined			
emissions by:			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:			
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?   Yes  No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control			
emissions?			
<ol> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other pave</li> </ol>			
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 🖂 Yes 🗌 No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind br	eaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?		⊠Yes □ No	
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emi	ssions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes ☐ No	
	* *		
		<del></del>	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule	62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment			
1. Since the last inspection has there been			
a) installation of any new process equipment?			
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace	ment?	□Yes ⊠ No	
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most			
recent notification form? Yes No			
d) If you answered <b>YES</b> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or			
local program office?		□Yes □ No	
Fregram critical			
Tracy White	3/24/2010		
They white	3/24/2010		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	<del></del>	
	<b>r</b>		
7 6 811			
/ ) / WW			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_	
r	11		

**COMMENTS:** I met with Susan and James Brooks. When I arrived a truck apparently was being loaded. No excess emissions were noted. I observed the yard and equipment. Two silos with filter units and one drop point baghouse was present. No changes were noted. The facility still had a mobile water tank for yard/dust control. No issues were noted during the inspection.

Department computer records indicate that last compliance testing was performed on 3/03/2009. However more recent testing was scheduled to occur on 3/15/2010. The testing may be under review by the Department.