

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

		COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERT (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0990300 DAT	TE: <u>7-7-06</u>	ARRIVE: <u>0935</u>	DEPART: <u>160</u>	<u>0</u>	
FACILITY NAME: PAL	M BCH CO ANIMAL CARE	E AND CONTROL			
FACILITY LOCATION:	: 7100 BELVEDERE RO	OAD			
	WEST PALM BEACH	I 33411			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICI	AL: DIANNE SAUVE	PHO	ONE: (561)233-1230		
CONTACT NAME: Ray	y Segasser, Building Maintena	nce Coordinator PHO	ONE: (2331224.00)		
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITI	LEMENT PERIOD: 7/13/2 (effective			
IN COMPLIANC	COMPLIANCE STATUS (C		CANT Non-COMPLIAN	CE	
DADT II. TESTING/DEC	CORDKEEPING REQUIRE	MENTS - Dulo 62 206 401	FAC		
(check ☑ appropriate		<u> </u>	, r.a.c.		
2. Was a visible emiss	ectionable odor(s) detected? sions test conducted during thi	is site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter		_
2. Was a visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?3. In order to demonst days prior to the Atlanta of the Atlanta of	ectionable odor(s) detected?sions test conducted during thitrate individual source complia	is site visit according to EPAance, was an annual visible e ion, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 6 to each anniversary date	⊠Yes 0 ? (Rule	□ No
 Was a visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonst days prior to the At 62-296.401(6)(j), F In order to demonst 	ectionable odor(s) detected?sions test conducted during thitrate individual source compliance of the compliance	ance, was an annual visible e ion, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 6 to each anniversary date icable standards testing	Yes (O) (Rule Yes	_
 Was a visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonst days prior to the At 62-296.401(6)(j), F In order to demonst completed within (a) Carbon Monoxi 	ectionable odor(s) detected?sions test conducted during thitrate individual source complia GP Notification form submiss. F.A.C.)trate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notificed (CO) emissions equal to or	as site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible e ion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining appl fication form submission? (R below the requirements of 1	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 6 to each anniversary date icable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C 00 parts per million by	Yes O (Rule Yes C.) Yes	□ No
 Was a visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonst days prior to the Al 62-296.401(6)(j), F In order to demonst completed within a) Carbon Monoxi volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter 6 b) Oxygen test per 	ectionable odor(s) detected?sions test conducted during this trate individual source compliance of the compliance of	ance, was an annual visible e ion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining appl fication form submission? (Ref.) below the requirements of 1 rly average basis and tested a thou 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 6 to each anniversary date cicable standards testing cule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method F.A.C.)?	Yes O (Rule Yes C.) Yes Yes	□ No □ No
 Was a visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonst days prior to the At 62-296.401(6)(j), F In order to demonst completed within a) Carbon Monoxi volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter 6 b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate matt dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter62-2 	ectionable odor(s) detected?sions test conducted during this	ance, was an annual visible e ion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining application form submission? (Results below the requirements of 1 rly average basis and tested a thod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 equal to or below the requirements of 7% O ₂ and tested according	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 6 to each anniversary date classicable standards testing the 62-210.300(4), F.A.C (00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method F.A.C.)?———————————————————————————————————	Yes O (Rule Yes C.) Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
 Was a visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonst days prior to the At 62-296.401(6)(j), F In order to demonst completed within a) Carbon Monoxi volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter 6 b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate matt dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter62-2: Was all emissions t capacity?	ectionable odor(s) detected?sions test conducted during this trate individual source complia GP Notification form submiss. F.A.C.)	ance, was an annual visible e ion, and within 60 days priorance were the remaining appl fication form submission? (Rebelow the requirements of 1 rly average basis and tested a thod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 equal to or below the requirements of 7% O ₂ and tested according to 2 operating at the manufact	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 6 to each anniversary date dicable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method form to EPA Method 5 missions per mig to EPA Method 5 missions per mig to EPA Method 5 missions per missi		
 Was a visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonst days prior to the At 62-296.401(6)(j), F In order to demonst completed within a) Carbon Monoxi volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter 6 b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate matt dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter62-2 Was all emissions t capacity? Was CO & PM con 7. Was the Departmer 8. Was the required test 	ectionable odor(s) detected?sions test conducted during this	ance, was an annual visible e ion, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 6 to each anniversary date icable standards testing cule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method F.A.C.)?		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record to	emperatu	res in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	mbustior	zone in
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?		□ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the rec		
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?		
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes	☐ No
2) Monitoring device	⊠Yes	☐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements		□ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation		☐ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks		☐ No
6) Adjustments		□ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices		☐ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes	□ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	⊠ i cs	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) \bigcirc ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	□Yes	□ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	1 es	∐ No
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	□ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature		L No
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	Yes	□ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to		
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	□Vas	□ No
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	∐Yes	∐ No
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	_	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tim @ 1800° F?		□ Na
	⊠Yes	∐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	N/10.	□ M.
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes	∐ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation		□ м.
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes	∐ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	N 7	
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?		∐ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they		
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	<u> </u>	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes	☐ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils		_
thick?	⊠Yes	∐ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other	_	_
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	Yes	⊠ No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	∑Yes	☐ No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes	☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration		
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment	RES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
 Since the last inspection has there been installation of any new process equipment? alterations to existing process equipment with replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office? If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent was required, have all operators been retrained to 63. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a required, has the owner submitted copies of all operators been retrained within the 15 day required window to a submitted window to a subm	☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No □No □No □No	
Stanley Ganthier	7-7-06		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	6-7-07		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspect	ion	

COMMENTS: On 7-7-06, SG witnessed stack testing of the animal crematory at the PBC Animal Care and Control facility that was performed by South Florida Environmental Services (SFES). One probe was used to measure CO by Method 10 as well as O2 and CO2 by Method 3A. SFES used satisfactory calibration gases for the M10 and M3A analyzers. A second probe was used to measure PM by Method 5 that entailed isokinetic sampling of 16 points for 4 minutes at each point to yield a 64-minute test run. The first M5 test run failed the post-test leak check and was voided. The high stack temperature (737 - 1092 F) had burned the ferro near the M5 probe nozzle; therefore, a different M5 probe with stainless steel fittings was used for the next 3 test runs. SFES measured visible emissions by Method 9.

The facility had a Crawford Model C-1000 incinerator that used natural gas and had a capacity of 250 lb/hr. Each test run was conducted with a batch load > 90% capacity. The facility maintained good records of past incinerations. The circular temperature recording charts showed that the temperature of the secondary chamber was maintained > 1600 F during the combustion process in the primary chamber. Note that the temperature of the primary chamber was not monitored. The temperature of the secondary chamber recorded on the circular chart corresponded well with that shown on the digital display. The facility had 7 incinerator operators with training certificates. The manufacturer rebuilt the hearth floor in 1/06.

SG performed a 15-minute VE test during the 2nd valid M5 test run and did not detect any visible emissions.

On 6-12-06, DEP received the NOI to use the General Permit without compliance test data. The facility could not find test data for an identical unit in Florida, and the county's bidding procedures delayed the stack test. Nonetheless, DEP received a satisfactory test report that demonstrated compliance within 30 days after receiving the NOI.