

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)							
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
AIRS ID#: 0930007 DATE: <u>2/2/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:30</u> DEPART:	10:30						
FACILITY NAME: OKEECHOBEE READY-MIX CONCRETE PLANT							
FACILITY LOCATION: 710 NE 3RD AVE							
OKEECHOBEE 34972-							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TERRY LANCASTER Email: CONTACT NAME: ABIGAIL DIAZ Email: PHONE: (954)425-42 PHONE: (954)425-41 Mobile: (561)252-00	87 99						
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/5/2009 / 7/5/2014 (effective date) (end date)							
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING							
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Abigal Diaz</u>	(check only one box for each question)						
Brief Notes:							
2. Is the Authorized Representative still TERRY LANCASTER?	⊠ Yes □No						
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still ABIGAIL DIAZ?	YesNo YesNo						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?							

# Emissions Unit Section 14 –CCB Plant#1-silo(flyash)trkloadout/weighscalew/cent.baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
Date of last inspection: 1/20/2011     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:         <ol> <li>Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ol></li></ol>	following: -   Yes  Yes	□ No
particulate matter?	⊠ Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>⊠ No</li></ul>
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 15 –CCB Plant #2-silo(cement) w/silotop dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)				
Date of last inspection: 1/20/2011     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?   N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No				
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check <b>✓</b>	only one				
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for each	question)				
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:						
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>		☐ No				
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No				
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No				
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	⊠ No				
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No				

### **Facility Section (continued)**

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:     a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?     b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?     c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (vunits and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-2 Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	210.300(3) or	Yes	⊠ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where si permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same f If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		Yes	⊠ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal t a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		Yes Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1	MM gal propane/yr	<u>·</u> ≤ 1.00?	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of mother for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	onthly fuel consumption	Yes	□ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS			
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control develope the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollutants.	tion control		
devices?	L	Yes	⊠ No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes	☐ No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and coterms and conditions of the air general permit?	×	Yes	☐ No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the I to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance wi permit and Department rules?	Department, access ith the air general		☐ No

RI	ELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	•
1.	Is the facility: stationary \( \subseteq \); relocatable \( \subseteq \); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \( \subseteq \) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following</i> )	box for each of question 2.)	question)
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)</li> </ul>	Yes	☐ No
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)	☐ Yes	☐ No
	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? -	Yes	☐ No
3.	If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permand the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)  If YES, what was the purpose?		□ No
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
	HANGES  Iministrative Changes:	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
1. 2. <u>Ne</u>	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representate associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	ts or Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?  d. A change in ownership?	Yes Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee subtraction 30 days prior to the change?	mitted Yes	☐ No
Mi	ichelle Robinson - Austin 2/2/201	12	
	Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Date of Inspection		
	Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection	

**COMMENTS:** An inspection, records review, and VE test observation was conducted by Michelle Robinson on 2/2/2012. Tarmac Okeechobee has only 2 active emissions units. The facility was well maintained and no visible emissions were observed. The facility uses approximately 2,000 gallons of diesel fuel per month. The VE Test was performed by the facility's authorized representative Abigal Diaz.