

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) [RE-INSPECTION (FUI) [COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA		Y (CI)	
ΑI	RS ID#: 0850009 DA	ГЕ: <u>4/2/2014</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:15</u>		DEPART: <u>2:30</u>	
FA	ACILITY NAME: CEI	MEX-INDIANTOWN REAI	DY-MIX			
FA	ACILITY LOCATION	: 17200 SW RAILROA	AD AVE			
		INDIANTOWN 34	1956-3992			
C	WNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: jefferyr.porter ONTACT NAME: Gi Email: geoffreym.fan NTITLEMENT PERIC	EOFFREY FANNING uning@cemex.com	018	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(561)820-8415 (561)718-7564 (772)287-0502 (772)201-1871	
PA	Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
	Name(s) of facility rep Brief Notes:	resentative(s):			(check ☑ box for each	-
2.	Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?:	esentative still JEFFREY PC	ORTER?		Xes	□No
3.		ility provide an administrativ till GEOFFREY FANNING?				□No □No
4.	Will facility be conduc	ting VE test(s) during today' ance authority notified at leas				□No □No

Emissions Unit Section

1 –CCB Plant-split silo(cement)comp #1w/baghouse dust collector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		-
1. Date of last inspection: 6/11/2013		
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		⊠ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
d. Date of last VE test: 6/11/2013		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?		□ No ⊠ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A	☐ Yes	∐ No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes	⊠ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?-	- X Yes	☐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
•		
DADELY GEAGY ENGGRONG & P. 111 (1.11)		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment		
enclosed storage and conveying equipment		
	_	
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	X Yes	∐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	☐ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	X Yes	☐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo	conducted at a	rate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \boxtimes Yes \square No \square N/A - silo not lo		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		¹ □ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? 27.37 tons/hour	_	_
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?		∐ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go		⊠ M.
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching 		⊠ No
duration?		☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? 30 minute		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector whi		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust co		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duratio		☐ No
 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? 		⊠ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		□ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	🛛 Yes	☐ No
d. What was the process rate? 27.37 tons/hour.		

Emissions Unit Section

2 -CCB Plant-split silo(cement)comp #2w/baghouse dust collector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 6/11/2013 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes Yes	□ No
d. Date of last VE test: 6/11/2013 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□ No ⊠ No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No ⊠ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment		
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \(\subseteq \text{ Yes} \) \(\subseteq \text{N/A} - \text{silo not load} \) e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		spection. No
f. What was the silo loading rate? 29.88 tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go to	☐ Yes h.	⊠ No
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 	☐ Yes	☐ No
duration?	- Yes	☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.	? Yes	☐ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	⊠ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test conducted according to EFA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? 29.88 tons/hour. 		

Emissions Unit Section 3 -CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/cartridge batcher vent subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 6/11/2013 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	⊠ Yes	only one question) No No No No No No No
	 j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	⊠ Yes	□ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	- Yes	☐ No
	 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collection. 	n is separate ector	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? 6 minutes.	∕ ⊠ Yes	∐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	Yes	□ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	∑ Yes ∑ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared	$\frac{\text{ane/yr}}{\text{yr}} \le 1.00$	0?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption	☐ No
<u>G</u> 1	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	· 🔀 Yes	∐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🛛 Yes	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s	stationary and relocatable	(check 🗹 o	-
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		Tyes Yes	□ No
 (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificato the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five 	Local Air Program by telephone, prior to changing location?ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] less days following a relocation?ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	☐ Yes	□ No□ No□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?	nir construction or air operation permi in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? was	t, Yes Yes	No No No No
<u></u>			
CHANGES	ŀ	(check 🗹 o	-
HA 1 PART OF THE P	L	ox for each q	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adminuted and the facility provide written notification within 30 days and New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	the facility or authorized representative of the facility or any emissions units nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	ve not or Yes Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	the facility or authorized representative of the facility or any emissions units nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	ve not or Yes	⊠ No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substituted. 	the facility or authorized representative of the facility or any emissions units nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	Yes Yes	No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days and New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————	the facility or authorized representative of the facility or any emissions units nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	Yes Itted	No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admined. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	the facility or authorized representative of the facility or any emissions units nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	Yes Itted	No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days and New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	the facility or authorized representative of the facility or any emissions units nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	Yes Itted	No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days and New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	the facility or authorized representative of the facility or any emissions units nistrative change at the facility? of the change? cantially different? on form and the appropriate fee submensions of the submensions of the properties of the change?	Yes Yes	No No No No No No No