

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2 RE-INSPECTION (FU	_	V/DISCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0850004 DATE: <u>1/26/2010</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART	': <u>/</u>	
FACILITY NAME: STUART READY MIX CO	ONCRETE			
FACILITY LOCATION: 1501 MONTERA	AY RD EXT			
STUART 3349	94			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Abigail Diaz Email: adiaz@titanamerica.com CONTACT NAME: Abigail Diaz Email: adiaz@titanamerican.com Email: adiaz@titanamerican.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/10/2008 / 1/10/2013 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (954)425-4227 Mobile: (561)504-6787  (561)504-6787				
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
DARE H. ONGITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN	DIG.			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETI  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Abigail I  Brief Notes:			(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Is the Authorized Representative still TERRY I     If no, who is?: <u>Abigail Diaz</u>	LANCASTER?		☐ Yes	⊠No
If different, did the facility provide an administ  3. Is the facility contact still TERRY LANCASTE If no, who is?: Abigal Diaz				⊠No ⊠No
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during to If yes, was the compliance authority notified at				□No □No

# Emissions Unit Section 1 – Weigh Hopper and Truck Loadout Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 - Weigh Hopper and Truck Loadout Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each o	only one question)	
Date of last inspection: 1/18/2011     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	🔯 Yes	□ No □ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each of	only one question)	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by:	ined		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		☐ No ☐ No	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

# Emissions Unit Section 2 –200T Silo Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 1/18/2011     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: (0)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🔯 Yes	□ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by:</li> </ol>	ined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		☐ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		☐ No ☐ No

## Emissions Unit Section 3 –Split Silo East Side Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

by both bus base base concern subject to reasonable recutations	1	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 1/18/2011     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: (0)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?   c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🔯 Yes	□ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check <b>☑</b> box for each of	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for each o	Aucstion)
Conveying Equipment Conveyor Brop Formes, Routes, Furning Fireds, Stock Fires, and Turus		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by:</li> </ol>	ined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>		☐ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

## Emissions Unit Section 4 –Split Silo West Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

4-Spit Sno West Dust Conector subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)	
Date of last inspection: 1/18/2011     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: (0)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🔯 Yes	□ No □ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	□ No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No	
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:     a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?     b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		☐ No ☐ No	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	No No No No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception o units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
4.	40,000 gal diesel/yr + 10 gal gasoline/yr + 0 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 0 MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.005,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption as the property 12 project for the part 5 years?	e/yr otion		
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	M	Yes	∐ No
_				
	ENERAL CONDITIONS			
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	- 🗆	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes	☐ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		eck 🗹 only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants?	stationary and relocatable	or each question) ion 2.)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.	)	Yes
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department of e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notif</li> </ul>	y prior to changing location?	Yes No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busi c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	ness days following a relocation? cation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	Yes No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five		Yes No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions una. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine put If YES, what was the purpose?	it in that separate permit:	Yes No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes 🔲 No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? -	<u> </u>	Yes No
CHANGES		eck 🗹 only one or each question)
Administrative Changes:		• ,
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number o associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation</li> </ol>		t
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adn		Yes No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day		Yes 🔯 No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?		Yes 🕅 No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement		Yes 🔯 No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sub	stantially different?	Yes No
d. A change in ownership?	LJ	Yes 🔀 No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrat 30 days prior to the change?	ion form and the appropriate fee submitted	
		Yes No
	<u> </u>	Yes No
Michelle Robinson - Austin	1/26/2012	Yes No
Michelle Robinson - Austin  Inspector's Name (Please Print)		Yes No
	1/26/2012	Yes No

**COMMENTS:** On January 26, 2012, a visible emission test, records review, and inspection were conducted by Michelle Robinson at Tarmac America's Ready Mix Concrete plant in Stuart, FL. The facility's authorized Representative Abigail Diaz hosted the inspection and conducted the VE testing for the site. Ms. Diaz was asked to provide an administrative update to Tallahassee listing herself as the new authorized representative. An administrative update was also requested to address the change in street name from Monteray Rd. Ext. to Grunman Blvd. At the time of the inspection, no visible emissions were observed. The facility was well maintained. Monthly fuel records were available for review. The facility uses approximately 1,500 gallons of low sulfur diesel fuel a month.