

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0250320 DATE: <u>12/12/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:57 AM</u> DEP.	ART: <u>11:07 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: ZOO MIAMI-QUARANTINE COMPLEX CREMATORY			
FACILITY LOCATION: 12400 SW 152nd St			
MIAMI 33177-1402			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ERIC STEPHENS PHONE: (305)25	51-0400		
Email:Mobile:CONTACT NAME:CHRISTINE MILLERPHONE:(305)25Email:CMILLER@miamidade.govMobile:ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:8/2/2013 / 8/2/2018(effective date)(end date)	53-5050		
Facility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	OMPLIANCE		
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>	(check download only one box for each question)		
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>CHRISTINE MILLER</u>			
Brief Notes:			
 Is the Authorized Representative still ERIC STEPHENS? If no, who is?: 	XesNo		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still CHRISTINE MILLER? If no, who is?:			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	XesNo YesNo		

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – AnimalCrematory-prim/2ndaryChmbrs,LPG,w/opac/tempMR200lbs/hr</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or	00x 101 Cach	question
after August 30, 1989?	- 🗌 Yes	No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Yes	No
2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: $\underline{150}$ \boxtimes lbs for batch unit \square lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.		
3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	- 🗌 Yes	No
4. Date of last inspection: $\frac{8/23}{2012}$		
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🗌 Yes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	🗌 Yes	No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? N/A	Yes	No
d. Date of last VE test: 8/23/2013		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	TYes	No
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		No
If no, what was the problem (if known)?	_	

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?		
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		□No □No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	No
□ (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		_
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	_	LNo
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	_	
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		No
 e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes 		No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar If yes, what reason?	∙ ds? □ Yes	No
11 yes, what reason		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	YesNo
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (worst)
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at 1,800¹ 1,600² degrees was determined? (Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89) 	YesNo
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements	tts;
 (5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices (6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices 	YesNo
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3) (1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to autom 	YesNo
 (2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opa exceeds 15% opacity ?	YesNo
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	YesNo
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the creation process begins in the primary chamber? 	emation
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600° throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	YesNo emation
	(check 🗹 only one
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each question)
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit? If yes, what other materials? 	
 Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	(check ⊻ box for each	only one question)
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	 No No No No No

PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 – AnimalCrematory-prim/2ndaryChmbrs,150lbs/hr</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one box h question)
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	_	No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?		No
 Manufacturer's recommended capacity: <u>150</u> lbs for batch unit lbs/hr for ram-charged unit. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007? 		No
4. Date of last inspection:		
 5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? 		⊠No ⊠No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A	_	□No
 d. Date of last VE test: <u>12/12/2013</u> e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? - f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		□No □No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>		only one box question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- 🛛 Yes	No
a. Operating capacity during test? $\underline{450}$ 🖾 lbs for batch unit 🗌 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?		
\boxtimes Yes \square No		
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations		No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	- 🛛 Yes	LNo
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
□ (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minut	es in any one-ho	ur)
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	- 🗌 Yes	🖾No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?] [] []-+]-+]	
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations		No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?] []-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.	_	
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
□ (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minut	es in any one-no	ur)
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standa		
If yes, what reason?	∐ Yes	⊠No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	YesNo
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected-	Scale: 1-10 (worst)
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in t secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	XesNo
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements	ents; YesNo
 (3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on)	YesNo YesNo
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3) (1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to auto control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement? 	⊠Yes □No omatically
 (2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any of exceeds 15% opacity ?	opacity XesNo n
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	
	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
 PART IV: <u>SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES</u> 1. If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the process begins in the primary chamber? 	YesNo cremation
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 160 throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	XesNo cremation
	(check \blacksquare only one box
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	for each question)
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit? If yes, what other materials? 	
 Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	YesNo m use? YesNo

		(check 🗹	only one box
PAF	RT VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	for each	question)
	s the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? s there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup,	🛛 Yes	DNo
s	hutdown and malfunction?		□No ⊠No
	If no, skip a. – b. . Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?		□No
	b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?		No

PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati	ve not	
 associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	s or	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	🛛 Yes	□No □No □No □No □No □No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

12/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

12/12/2013

COMMENTS: WILLIAM ARLINGTON PERFORMED A SIXTY (60) MINUTES VE TEST ON THE NEW ANIMAL CREMATORY. THIS UNIT REPLACES THE SIMONDS PLIBRICO ANIMAL CREMATORY. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE TEST.

THE VE TEST STARTED AT 10:14 A.M., THE SECONDARY CHAMBER TEMPERATURE WAS 1627 DEGREES FAHRENHEIT.

REVIEWED By Ray Gordon at 1:27 pm, Jan 06, 2014