

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000

Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

September 21, 2011

SENT VIA E-MAIL suecu@readymixusa.com

Sue Cummings Environmental Coordinator Ready Mix USA-Mosely Facility 2570 Ruffner Road Birmingham, Alabama 35210

Dear Ms. Cummings:

A Department representative inspected your facilities to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permits. The program identification number for this facility is **0730069**. The permit expires on **July 30, 2015**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. The inspection checklist is enclosed. Your facility compliance status may also be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/ 245-2960 or <u>tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Marlan Castellano

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Maison Scozzari, Ready Mix USA (<u>MaisonS@readymixusa.com</u>) Rick Bradburn, Mary Beth Curle, Carol Melton (FDEP Pensacola)

	-	L MOIECIO	1	-
er age		VA	C.	1
F	LOR	IDA		

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D	ISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0730069 DA	TE: <u>9/13/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:00</u>	DEPART:	
FACILITY NAME: RE	ADY MIX USA-MOSLEY	FACILITY		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 901 MOSLEY ST			
	TALLAHASSEE	32310-4717		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: M Email: MaisonS@rea ENTITLEMENT PERIO	adymixusa.com	2015	PHONE: (205)986-4800 Mobile: (205)936-3572 PHONE: (478)986-1834 Mobile: (229)740-0254	2 4
		Facility Section		

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)

PARTI: INSPECTION COM	<u>LIANCE STATUS</u> (check 🗹 only	y one box)
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>N/A/</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one a question)
Brief Notes:		
 Is the Authorized Representative still ERIN WILLIAMS? If no, who is?: <u>Sue Cummings</u> 	Yes	⊠No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still MAISON SCOZZARI? If no, who is?:		□No □No
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section

<u>1-CCB Plant-1cement&1flyashsilo,&truckloadoutw/centralbaghouse subject to 5% O</u>	pacity Limit	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 8/26/2011	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	D No No
operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: <u>5/24/2011</u>	🛛 Yes	No
 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour 		□ No □ No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 	Yes Yes	D No No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	X Yes	🗌 No
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🛛 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	🗌 No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Yes	🗌 No
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A - silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ and go to	\square Yes h .	🗌 No
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 		🗌 No
duration?	- 🗌 Yes ites	🗌 No
 h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 	lector ? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? 		🛛 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	Yes	🗌 No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each	•
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	- 🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		🖾 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		🛛 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		☑ No☑ No☑ No☑ No☑ No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal pro-275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🛛 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 	_	No
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces 		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary [X]; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable []	(check 🗹 box for each	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following	ng question 2.)
 Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?		🗌 No
 b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] 	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		_
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?	e)? 📋 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		□ No
co-located at the permitted facility?	\Box Tes	
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	[] Yes	
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	[] Yes	
CHANGES	🗌 Yes (check 🗹	No No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes (check 🗹 box for each	No No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? CHANGES Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation.	 (check box for each	No No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? CHANGES Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represents associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un	Yes (check box for each ative not nits or	Only one question)
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes (check box for each ative not hits or Yes	No only one question)
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes (check box for each ative not hits or Yes	Only one question)
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	☐ Yes (check ☑ box for each ative not hits or ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No only one question)
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? CHANGES Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	☐ Yes (check ☑ box for each ative not nits or ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	 No only one question) No No No No No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	 (check box for each ative not hits or Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No only one question) □ No □ No ○ No ○ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? CHANGES Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes (check box for each ative not nits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No only one question) No No No No No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	☐ Yes (check ☑ box for each ative not hits or ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	 □ No □ only one question) □ No □ No □ No ○ No ○ No ○ No ○ No ○ No ○ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? CHANGES Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	☐ Yes (check ☑ box for each ative not nits or ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	 □ No □ only one question) □ No □ No □ No ○ No ○ No ○ No ○ No ○ No ○ No

Tracy White

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

& here I man

Inspector's Signature

9/13/2011

Date of Inspection

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The facility was not in operation. On site staff indicated that the plant was still in operation, but operation was intermittent. Facility equipment consisted of two silos with associated filter units and one batcher baghouse. No changes were noted. No excess emissions were noted.