

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000

Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Mimi A. Drew Secretary

October 7, 2010

SENT VIA EMAIL DKFCPALFD@aol.com

Dan Fairchild Fairchild Cremation Services, Inc. Capital City Crematory 3673 Peddie Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32303

Dear Mr. Fairchild:

A Department representative conducted a follow-up inspection for your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The permit **expires July 31, 2013**. The program identification number for this facility is **0730068**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resources Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a facility status of **In Compliance**. Note that your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

Your assistance is appreciated. The inspection checklist and comment section is enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at (850) 245-2960 or <u>tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Marlan Castellano

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures cc: Rick Bradburn, Mary Beth Curle, FDEP, Pensacola.

> "More Protection, Less Process" www.dep.state.fl.us



# HUMAN CREMATORY



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/E ARMS COMPL	DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0730068 DA	TE: <u>9/22/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:30</u>	<u>A.M</u> DEPAI	RT:
FACILITY NAME: CA	APITAL CITY CREMATOR	RY		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 3673 PEDDIE DR			
	TALLAHASSEE	32303		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: dkfcpalfd@a CONTACT NAME: D Email: ENTITLEMENT PERI	DAN FAIRCHILD	/2013	PHONE: (904)386 Mobile: PHONE: (850)386 Mobile:	
		Facility Section		
PART I: INSPECTION	N COMPLIANCE STATUS	$\underline{\mathbf{S}}$ (check $\mathbf{\nabla}$ only one boy	x)	
IN COMPLIAN	ICE MINOR Non-Co	COMPLIANCE SIC	GNIFICANT Non-CON	<b>MPLIANCE</b>
L				
PART II: <u>ONSITE INT</u>	RODUCTORY MEETING	G		(check ☑ only one
1. Name(s) of facility rep	presentative(s): Dan Fairchi	<u>ild</u>		box for each question)
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?:	presentative still DAN FAIR	CHILD?		XesNo
	cility provide an administrati still DAN FAIRCHILD?			

4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? ------ Yes If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance? ----- Yes Yes ...No

### **Emissions Unit Section** <u>1 – B&L SYSTEMS, MODEL N-20AA HUMAN CREMATORY</u>

		,
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	🛛 Yes	No
<ul> <li>b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?</li> </ul>		No
<ol> <li>Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?</li> <li>Date of last inspection: 8/31/2010</li> </ol>		∐No ⊠No
<ul> <li>4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li> <li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li> </ul>	Xes Yes	□No □No
<ul> <li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?</li> <li>M/A</li> <li>d. Date of last VE test: 08/24/2010</li> </ul>	Yes	No
<ul> <li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li> <li>f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?</li> <li>If no, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>		□No □No
DADTH. VIGIDI D DMIGGIANG TROTINIC		
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?</li></ol>	Tes	⊠No □No □No
<ul> <li>c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.</li> <li>d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?</li></ul>		]No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	TYes	XNo
a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?		⊿No □No
b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		No
c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.		
d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO star	ndards?	
If yes, what reason?	Yes	⊠No
PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected? An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:	Yes	🖾No
Downwind odor level detected- 3 Wind direction - 3 Upwind odor level detected-3	(1-10)	
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		No
<ul> <li>b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at  1,800<sup>1</sup> □ 1,600<sup>2</sup> degrees was determined?</li></ul>	e 🛛 Yes	No

### PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?			
	1) All temperature measurements	🛛 Yes	No	
	2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;			
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	🛛 Yes	No	
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on ( )	🛛 Yes	No	
	4) Adjustments	🛛 Yes	No	
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	🖾 Yes	No	
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	🖂 Yes	No	
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of			
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	🛛 Yes	No	
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$ ? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	🖾No	
	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatic	ally		
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes	No	
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity			
	exceeds 15% opacity ?	Yes	No	
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in	_	_	
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Yes	No	

PART IV: <u>SECONDARY</u>	<u>COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES</u>

(check  $\square$  only one box for each question)

1.	If the application to construct was <b><u>BEFORE</u></b> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1400°F</b>	
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Yes	No
	<ul> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? ————————————————————————————————————</li></ul>	No
	If the application to construct <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
	a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Yes	No
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the cremation	
	process begins in the primary chamber? Yes	No

PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>		(check $\blacksquare$ box for each	
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	Yes	🖾No
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		□No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	🛛 Yes	No
<ol> <li>Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?</li></ol>	· 🛛 Yes	No No No No

PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTIO</u>	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	$\blacksquare$ only one box)
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ul>	s or Ves	⊠No □No
<ul> <li>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li></ul>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No □No

Tracy White

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

9/22/2010

Date of Inspection

I may to these

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** I met with Dan Fairchild. Mr. Fairchild had already loaded an approximately 140 lb body in the primary chamber. The secondary combustion chamber temperature was preheated to1631 degrees F. when primary cremation began. Mr. Fairchild explained the adjustments he had performed on the machine, mainly to the Opacity (pollution) monitoring system and the related feed back loop for the primary burner time-out period (for when increased opacity may occur.)

I observed the unit operation and stack for approximately 20 minutes. No excess opacity was observed. The crematory unit appeared to operate normally. The facility appeared to have an in compliance operation status.

Additional comments: No further action needed at this time. This resolution activity will be entered into the computer files for the 8/31/2010 MNC status.